Asymmetric synthesis of (+)-loline, a pyrrolizidine alkaloid from rye grass and tall fescue

Paul R. Blakemore, Sung-Kee Kim, Volker K. Schulze, James D. White * and Alexandre F. T. Yokochi

Department of Chemistry, Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon, 97331-4003, USA. E-mail: james.white@orst.edu

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 2nd May 2001, Accepted 13th June 2001 First published as an Advance Article on the web 18th July 2001

(+)-Loline (1) was synthesized *via* a pathway that employed intramolecular [4 + 2] cycloaddition of an acylnitrosodiene, **25** or **26**, as a key step. The acylnitrosodienes, which were used *in situ*, were obtained by oxidation of the corresponding hydroxamic acids, **17** and **24**, and these were prepared from either glucose *via* aldehyde **9** or more directly from (*S*)-malic acid (**18**). The *endo* dihydrooxazines **27** and **29**, obtained in a mixture with their *exo* stereoisomer, were transformed by reductive N–O bond cleavage and reannulation into pyrrolizines **34** and **35**. The latter was subjected to Sharpless aminohydroxylation in the presence of (DHQD)₂PHAL to give **50** along with its regioisomer **51**. *N*-Methylation of tosyl amide **50**, followed by mesylation of alcohol **52** and reduction of the γ -lactam **53** with borane, afforded pyrrolizidine **54**. Cleavage of the *p*-methoxybenzyl ether and subsequent thermal treatment of **55** resulted in intramolecular etherification to yield *N*-tosylloline (**57**). Final reductive cleavage of the *N*-tosyl residue produced (+)-loline, characterized as its dihydrochloride.

Introduction

The rye grass *Lolium cuneatum* and the tall fescue *Festuca arundinacea* are important pasture grasses in the United States that provide feedstock for grazing cattle in regions where drought or poor drainage is commonplace.¹ The alkaloidal content of these grasses was first investigated after cattle grazing on *F. arundinacea* were found to develop a lameness known as "fescue foot".² Subsequent reports of abdominal fat necrosis³ and increased respiration⁴ in cattle feeding on this grass added impetus to investigations directed towards identification of the causative agent(s) of these symptoms.⁵ This led to the isolation of seven closely related alkaloids, of which (+)-loline (1) is the principal member and all of which have been chemically interrelated.⁶ Other members of the *Lolium* family include norloline (2) and the *N*-acyl derivatives 3 and 4. Most recently, 1 was discovered in the roots of the tropical liana *Argyreia mollis*.⁷



The isolation of **1** from *L. cuneatum* was complicated initially by misassignment of its structure.^{6*a,b*} This was later corrected by X-ray crystallographic analysis of loline dihydrochloride,⁸ which proved that the parent alkaloid contains a pyrrolizidine nucleus possessing a unique ether linkage bridging C2 and C7. Numerous synthetic approaches to loline failed to reach the target^{8*c,d,9*} until a successful route to (±)-**1** was reported in 1986 by Tufariello *et al.*¹⁰

Although fescue toxicity in cattle does not appear to be directly associated with the alkaloidal content of *F. arundinacea*,¹¹ sustained interest in the pharmacology of loline and its congeners¹² has revealed new biological properties of this family. For example, acylated derivatives of **1** are now known

to be toxic to larvae of the horn fly *Haematobia irritans*, an important ectoparasite of cattle.¹³ The convergence of chemical and biological incentives, particularly the absence of an asymmetric synthesis of **1**, led us to devise a route to (+)-loline which could be generalized in principle to all members of that alkaloid family.¹⁴

The strategy envisioned for assembling the 2-oxa-6-azatricyclo $[4.2.1.0^{3,7}]$ nonane core of 1 is outlined in Scheme 1 and



postulates formation of the internal ether linkage at a late stage from a hydroxylated pyrrolizidine **5**. A critical feature of this plan is the placement of appropriate substituents, denoted as X and Y, on the *exo* face of the pyrrolizidine nucleus at C1 and C2. The dehydropyrrolizidine **6** therefore became a focal intermediate in our plan, and a route to this substance was projected employing chemistry along lines developed by Keck and Kibayashi in their studies of the synthesis of indolizidine,¹⁵ and pyrrolizidine ¹⁶ alkaloids. The key step in this sequence is an intramolecular hetero-Diels–Alder cycloaddition of an acylnitrosodiene **8**, in which the center bearing the oxygen substituent controls the stereochemical outcome of the reaction that leads to dihydrooxazine **7**. The latter can be converted to a pyrrolizidine through a reannulation sequence involving reductive scission of the N–O bond.

DOI: 10.1039/b103936a

J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 2001, 1831–1845 1831



Results and discussion

Our initial approach to the acylnitrosodiene 8 commenced from the known aldehyde 9,¹⁷ available in three steps from glucose bisacetonide (Scheme 2). Addition of allylmagnesium chloride



Scheme 2 Reagents and conditions: (i), allylmagnesium chloride, THF, 0 °C, 80%; (ii), MsCl, Et_3N , CH_2Cl_2 , 0 °C; (iii), DBU, toluene, 90 °C, 64% (2 steps); (iv), TFA-H₂O-THF (1 : 1 : 5), reflux, 89%; (v), NaIO₄, Et₂O, pH 7 buffer; (vi), NaClO₂, NaH₂PO₄·H₂O, 2-methylbut-2-ene, *t*-BuOH–H₂O; (vii), CH₂N₂, Et₂O; (viii), K₂CO₃, MeOH, 87% (4 steps); (ix), TBDMSCl, imidazole, DMF, 97%; (x), HONH₂·HCl, KOH, MeOH, 91%.

to 9 gave alcohol 10 as a mixture of stereoisomers, which, after mesylation and treatment with 1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7ene (DBU), afforded conjugated diene 11. Hydrolysis of 11 under acid catalysis yielded diol 12, and subsequent oxidative cleavage with sodium periodate gave the formate aldehyde 13. Oxidation of this aldehyde to a carboxylic acid,¹⁸ followed by treatment with diazomethane, produced the methyl ester 14. The formate of ester 14 was cleaved selectively employing basic methanolysis to furnish hydroxy ester 15, which was protected as its *tert*-butyldimethylsilyl ether 16 before exposure to hydroxylamine hydrochloride in 35% overall yield for the ten steps from 9.

Although the synthesis of 17 from glucose bisacetonide was relatively efficient, a more direct route to this hydroxamic acid which incorporated the single stereogenic center without erasing three additional configurations would obviously possess greater economy. It was also felt that a protecting group different from the tert-butyldimethylsilyl ether of 17 should be examined as a controlling element in the intramolecular cycloaddition of 8. To this end, a modified version of a route developed by Kibayashi¹⁹ was employed in which (S)-(-)malic acid (18) was reduced with borane,²⁰ and the resultant triol was converted to its *p*-methoxyphenyl acetal 19 as a single diastereomer (Scheme 3). The unstable aldehyde obtained by Swern oxidation of 19 was subjected to a Wittig reaction with allylidenetriphenylphosphorane to yield diene 20 as a 3:7 mixture of E and Z isomers, respectively. The diene mixture was reduced with excess diisobutylaluminium hydride, after which isomerization of the mixture with catalytic iodine under irradiation with a medium pressure mercury lamp produced the diene **21** with an E: Z ratio that was now $\ge 95: 5$. Oxidation of this primary alcohol, first to an aldehyde and then to carboxylic acid 22 using buffered sodium chlorite,¹⁸ was followed by treatment with N-trifluoroacetoxysuccinimide²¹ to give Osuccinimidyl ester 23. Displacement with hydroxylamine then



Scheme 3 Reagents and conditions: (i), BH₃·SMe₂, B(OMe)₃, THF, 0 °C to rt; (ii), PMPCH(OMe)₂, PPTS, CH₂Cl₂, reflux, 64% (2 steps); (iii), (COCl)₂, DMSO, CH₂Cl₂, -60 °C, then Et₃N, -60 °C to rt; (iv), allyltriphenylphosphonium bromide, BuLi, THF, -30 °C to rt, 40% (2 steps); (v), DIBAL-H, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C to rt; (vi), I₂, *hv*, C₆H₆, rt, 63% (2 steps); (vii), NaClO₂, NaH₂PO₄·H₂O, 2-methylbut-2-ene, *t*-BuOH-H₂O, 0 °C to rt; (vii), CF₃CO₂SUC, Py, THF, rt; (ix), HONH₂·HCl, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C, 75% (4 steps).

gave the protected hydroxamic acid **24**. The overall yield for the ten steps from **18** to **24** was only 19%, but this route was more amenable to the preparation of **24** on multi-gram scale than that shown in Scheme 2.

Oxidation of hydroxamic acids **17** and **24** was carried out with tetra-*n*-butylammonium periodate under a variety of conditions (Scheme 4 and Table 1). The resultant acylnitrosodienes



Scheme 4 Reagents and conditions: (i), DDQ, $CH_2Cl_2-H_2O(20:1)$, rt, 100%; (ii), TBSOTf, collidine, CH_2Cl_2 , 0 °C, 70%.

25 and 26 in each case underwent spontaneous intramolecular cycloaddition to give stereoisomeric bicyclic dihydrooxazines 27–30, accompanied by variable quantities of carboxylic acids 22 and 31. It was found that in benzene or toluene as the solvent, 17 gave predominantly the endo isomer 27, with the yield increasing but selectivity decreasing as the temperature of the reaction was raised from -20 to 80 °C (entries 1-4). In chlorocarbon solvents (dichloromethane and chloroform), the yield of dihydrooxazines improved but the selectivity for 27 diminished to near zero (entries 5-7). Although the pairs of stereoisomeric dihydrooxazines were readily separable by chromatography, structural assignment to endo (27 and 29) and exo (28 and 30) isomers was difficult to make on the basis of NMR experiments alone. Fortunately, 28 proved to be crystalline and its structure was conclusively established by an X-ray crystallographic analysis (Fig. 1). A simple two step sequence converted 30 into 28 and thus provided indirect confirmation of structure for dihydrooxazines 29 and 30. Oxidation of hydroxamic acid 24 with tetra-n-butylammonium periodate in chloroform (entry 8) gave a result very similar to that noted

Table 1 Oxidation of hydroxamic acids to acylnitrosodienes and in situ [4 + 2] cycloaddition

Entry	Hydroxamic acid	Oxidant	Solvent	Temp./°C)	Yield ^{<i>a</i>} (%)	Product (ratio) ^b
 1	17	Bu ₄ NIO ₄	PhMe	-20	49	27 : 28 (70 : 30)
2	17	Bu ₄ NIO ₄	C ₆ H ₆	0	60	27 : 28 (71 : 29)
3	17	Bu ₄ NIO ₄	C ₆ H ₆	22	64	27 : 28 (70 : 30)
4	17	Bu ₄ NIO ₄	C_6H_6	80	80	27 : 28 (60 : 40)
5	17	Bu ₄ NIO ₄	CH ₂ Cl ₂	-78	86	27 : 28 (50 : 50)
6	17	Bu ₄ NIO ₄	CH ₂ Cl ₂	0	73	27 : 28 (45 : 55)
7	17	Bu ₄ NIO ₄	CHCl ₃	22	91	27 : 28 (55 : 45)
8	24	Bu ₄ NIO ₄	CHCl ₃	22	87	29 : 30 (57 : 44)
9	17	NaIO₄	THF-H ₂ O (1 : 1)	0	97	27 : 28 (27 : 73)



Fig. 1 ORTEP representation of one of the two chemically identical molecules in the asymmetric unit of 28.

for 17 under the same conditions (entry 7). However, when 17 was oxidized with sodium periodate in an aqueous THF medium, selectivity was reversed in favor of the *exo* cycloadduct 28 (entry 9). The effect of water on the stereoselectivity of a closely related cycloaddition has also been noted by Kibayashi and co-workers¹⁹ but is without a satisfactory explanation at this time.

The *endo* dihydrooxazines **27** and **29** were each reduced with 6% sodium amalgam to give the lactam alcohols **32** and **33**, respectively, resulting from N–O bond cleavage (Scheme 5).



Scheme 5 Reagents and conditions: (i), 6% Na(Hg), Na₂HPO₄, EtOH, 0 °C; (ii), MsCl, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C; (iii), LDA, THF, -78 to 0 °C.

Each of these allylic alcohols was converted to the corresponding mesylate and then treated with lithium diisopropylamide. The intermediate metallated lactams immediately cyclized to the corresponding dehydropyrrolizidinones **34** and **35**, in which a double bond is conveniently placed for the further elaboration needed to transform this template into the tricyclic nucleus of loline. Exploratory experiments along these lines were carried out with **34**, which was oxidized with dimethyldioxirane in the expectation that an epoxide of *exo* configuration would be formed (Scheme 6). Surprisingly, the sole product was alcohol **36**, the result of angular hydroxylation rather than epoxidation. Abstraction of an allylic hydrogen by dimethyldioxirane in



Scheme 6 Reagents and conditions: (i), dimethyldioxirane, acetone, 0 °C, pH 11 work-up, 92%; (ii), AcOH, CH₂Cl₂, 100%; (iii), MCPBA, 2,6-di-*tert*-butyl-4-methylphenol (BHT), Na₂CO₃, (CH₂Cl)₂, reflux, 50%; (iv), TBAF, THF, 97%; (v), EtO₂CNHONs (**39**; Ns = *p*-nitrobenzenesulfonyl), CaO, CH₂Cl₂, rt; (vi), TBAF, THF, 22% (2 steps); (vii), LAH, THF, rt, 34%; (viii), OsO₄, NMO, acetone–H₂O (5 : 1), 89%.

preference to epoxidation, although unusual, is precedented.²² Exposure of **36** to acetic acid led in quantitative yield to pyrrole **37**. In contrast to its reaction with dimethyldioxirane, **34** gave exclusively the desired epoxide when treated with buffered *m*-chloroperbenzoic acid, although in only modest yield. Subsequent cleavage of the silyl ether of this oxirane afforded alcohol **38**, but all attempts to effect opening of the epoxide by intramolecular attack across the *endo* face of the pyrrolizidine with the hydroxy function at C7 were unsuccessful.

An alternative and more direct entry to the loline skeleton from 34 would be via aziridination of the double bond, and to this end 34 was reacted with an excess of the p-nitrobenzenesulfonate of N-hydroxyurethane (39) in the presence of calcium oxide.23 The nitrene generated by this means underwent in situ addition to 34 and yielded an aziridine in which the alcohol was immediately deprotected to give 40. Again, the endo alcohol 40 failed to participate in intramolecular opening of the aziridine. The negative outcome with both 38 and 40led to speculation that the lactam carbonyl was inhibiting transannular attack by the C7 hydroxy function at the threemembered ring, and a conformational analysis of these structures clearly showed that the flattened γ -lactam ring prevented close approach of the two reacting sites. Conversely, removal of the lactam carbonyl would permit the pyrrolizidine to adopt a "puckered" conformation²⁴ which should bring the hydroxy group and three-membered ring into sufficient proximity for transannular displacement to occur. With the intent of testing this hypothesis, 34 was reduced with lithium aluminium hydride



Fig. 2 ORTEP representation of one of the two chemically identical molecules in the asymmetric unit of 42.

to **41**. Unfortunately, this pyrrolizidine was now more susceptible to oxidation at the basic nitrogen atom and, not surprisingly, efforts to secure a derivative of **41** in which the double bond was functionalized by oxidative means were completely unsuccessful. This result indicated that the pyrrolizidinone double bond must be modified prior to reduction of the lactam carbonyl, and a promising development in this direction was realized with osmylation of **34**. The crystalline *exo* diol **42** was obtained in high yield, and its configuration was confirmed by X-ray crystallographic analysis (Fig. 2).

For a variety of reasons, including the greater ease of scaleup, it proved to be more practical to move forward from the *p*-methoxybenzyl ether **35** than the corresponding silyl ether **34**. The osmylation of **35** afforded diol **43** which underwent quantitative reduction of the lactam carbonyl with excess borane (Scheme 7). The product isolated from this reduction was the



Scheme 7 Reagents and conditions: (i), OsO_4 , NMO, $acetone-H_2O$ (5 : 1), 65%; (ii), $BH_3 \cdot SMe_2$, THF, rt, 100%; (iii), TsCl, Et_3N , CH_2Cl_2 , rt; (iv), $Pd(OH)_2/C$, MeOH, rt; (v), DDQ, $CH_2Cl_2-H_2O$ (20 : 1), rt, 80%; (vi), K_2CO_3 , $MeOH-H_2O$ (5 : 1), reflux, 76%; (vii), CAN, $MeCN-H_2O$ (5 : 1), rt.

stable, crystalline pyrrolizidine–borane **44** whose crystal structure is shown in Fig. 3. Protection of the basic pyrrolizidine nitrogen in this way permitted tosylation of the diol without complication, but a mixture of mono- and bis-tosylates **45** and **46** resulted from this reaction. After removal of the borane by methanolysis in the presence of Pearlman's catalyst, the mono- and bis-tosylates were readily separated by chrom-



Fig. 3 ORTEP representation of 44.

atography. Cleavage of the *p*-methoxybenzyl ether from **46** occurred cleanly with 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone (DDQ), but when the resulting alcohol was exposed to potassium carbonate in refluxing aqueous methanol, we were surprised to find that the sole product was the oxetane **47**, resulting from displacement of the proximal tosylate rather than the expected tricyclic skeleton characteristic of **1**. The structure of **47** was evident from the unusually high chemical shift of H7 (5.05 pm) and the absence of coupling between H1 and H2 ($J_{1,2} = 0$ Hz). In contrast to **46**, debenzylation of **45** was more problematic, DDQ being ineffective while ceric ammonium nitrate (CAN) gave a mixture of diol **48** and the *p*-methoxybenzoate **49**. Neither of these substances was useful as platforms from which to launch the final moves towards loline.

It was recognized at this point that the difficulties attending cyclization of tosylate **46** could probably be circumvented if aminohydroxylation rather than dihydroxylation of **35** was used to functionalize the double bond (see Scheme 8 and Table



2). Osmylation of 35 in the presence of excess chloramine-T under the original catalytic conditions reported by Sharpless²⁵ yielded principally the diol 43 together with small amounts of the masked amino alcohols 50 and 51 (entry 1). More recent results from Sharpless,²⁶ and others,²⁷ have demonstrated the profound influence of added biscinchona alkaloid ligands on the facial selectivity and regioselectivity of osmium catalyzed olefin aminohydroxylation. Furthermore, solvent systems incorporating water, which encourage high catalyst turn-over rates, can be utilized in the presence of these ligands without their usual promotion of an intrusive dihydroxylation pathway.²⁶ Cognizant of these results, aminohydroxylation of 35 was attempted in the presence of hydroquinidine phthalazine-1,4-divl diether [(DHQD)₂PHAL] in an acetonitrile-water mixture (entry 2). To our surprise, an even greater proportion of diol 43 was produced and only traces of the desired hydroxysulfonamides could be found. Fortunately, the yield of aminohydroxylation products 50 and 51 was considerably improved

						Yield ^{<i>p</i>} (%)		
Entry	Os cat. (mol %)	Additive (mol%)	Solvent	Temp./°C	Time/h	50 + 51	43	Ratio ^c 50 : 51
1	$OsO_4(5)$	None	t-BuOH	60	43	18	29	85:15
2	$K_{2}OsO_{2}(OH)_{4}(8)$	$(DHQD)_{2}PHAL^{d}(8)$	$MeCN-H_{2}O(1:1)$	22	3	<5	73	
3	$K_2OsO_2(OH)_4(4)$	$(DHQD)_{2}PHAL^{d}(8)$	$t-BuOH-H_2O(1:1)$	22	24	47	48	80:20
4	$K_2OsO_2(OH)_4$ (1 × 3)	$(DHQD)_{2}PHAL^{d}$ (25)	$t-BuOH-H_2O(1:1)$	22	72	52	21	75:25
5	$K_2OsO_2(OH)_4$ (4)	(DHQ) ₂ PHAL ^e (8)	t-BuOH-H ₂ O (1 : 1)	22	24	38	39	65:35
					1			

^{*a*} Chloramine-T dihydrate (2–3 equiv.) added to each reaction. ^{*b*} Isolated yield. ^{*c*} Determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. ^{*d*} (DHQD)₂PHAL = hydroquinidine phthalazine-1,4-diyl diether.

if the reaction was run in a *tert*-butyl alcohol-water mixture (entry 3), and optimization studies revealed that maintaining a high ligand to Os(VIII) ratio further suppressed diol formation. Slow addition of the potassium osmate pre-catalyst gave an acceptable yield of **50** and **51** with a 3:1 regioselectivity in favor of **50** (entry 4). The use of hydroquinine phthalazine-1,4-diyl diether [(DHQ)₂PHAL] as additive resulted in a lower proportion of aminohydroxylation products, although the predominant regioisomer was again **50** (entry 5).

Incorporation of the secondary amino function of **50** as its sulfonamide during the aminohydroxylation of **35** provided a convenient opportunity to introduce the *N*-methyl substituent characteristic of loline. This was accomplished by treatment of the potassium salt of the tosyl amide **50** with methyl iodide,²⁸ after which the hydroxy group of **52** was converted to its mesylate in quantitative yield (Scheme 9). Reduction of



Scheme 9 Reagents and conditions: (i), MeI, t-BuOK, t-BuOH, 50 °C, 76%; (ii), MsCl, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C, 99%; (iii), BH₃·SMe₂, THF, rt; (iv), Pd(OH)₂/C, MeOH, rt, 73% (2 steps); (v), DDQ, CH₂Cl₂-H₂O (20 : 1), rt, 70%; (vi), KHMDS, THF, 0 °C, 70%; (vii), o-Cl₂C₆H₄, 180 °C, 75%; (viii), sodium naphthalenide, DME, -60 °C, 48%; (ix), TsCl, DMAP, Et₃N, CHCl₃, rt, 77%.

 γ -lactam **53** again proceeded smoothly with borane–dimethyl sulfide to give the pyrrolizidine–borane complex, from which **54** was liberated with methanol in the presence of Pearlman's catalyst. Removal of the *p*-methoxybenzyl ether from **54** with DDQ furnished the crystalline alcohol **55**, our intended substrate for the intramolecular etherification that would yield the loline framework. An X-ray crystallographic structure determination of **55** (Fig. 4) confirmed that the C7 hydroxy group of **55** is indeed in sufficient proximity to C2 to effect displacement and consequent cyclization. Initial attempts to



Fig. 4 ORTEP representation of 55.

effect this final ring closure were unpromising, however. Bases such as potassium hexamethyldisilazane, which presumably generate an alkoxide from 55, gave exclusively the product 56 from 1,2-elimination. Evidently, the hydrogen at C1 is abstracted in preference to displacement at C2 under these conditions. The problem was conveniently circumvented by subjecting 55 to a purely thermal cyclization, and in o-dichlorobenzene at 180 °C. Compound 55 underwent clean cyclization to N-tosylloline (57). Removal of the tosyl group by reductive cleavage with sodium naphthalenide afforded (+)-loline (1), isolated as its dihydrochloride salt. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of synthetic material were in good agreement with those reported for natural loline (Tables 3 and 4).²⁹ Additional confirmation of the identity of our synthesized material was made by converting a sample of the natural alkaloid to its N-tosyl derivative 57, at which point the complete identity of the synthetic and naturally prepared materials was unambiguous. N-Tosylloline (57), derived in 21 steps from (-)-malic acid (18), exhibited $[a]_{D}^{22}$ +40.9 (c 0.11, CHCl₃) while that obtained from natural (+)-loline had $[a]_{D}^{22}$ +38.0 (c 0.10, CHCl₃).

Experimental

All reactions requiring anhydrous conditions were conducted in flame-dried glass apparatus under an atmosphere of Ar. DME, THF and Et₂O were freshly distilled from sodium– benzophenone ketyl prior to use. DMSO was distilled from CaH₂ at 15 mmHg. CH₂Cl₂ was freshly distilled from CaH₂. Anhydrous ethanol was obtained by distillation from its magnesium alkoxide and stored under Ar over activated 4 Å molecular sieves. Preparative chromatographic separations were performed on EM Science silica gel 60 (35–75 µm), and reactions were followed by TLC analysis using EM Science silica plates with fluorescent indicator (254 nm) and were visualized with UV, phosphomolybdic acid or potassium permanganate. All commercially available reagents were purchased from Aldrich and were typically used as supplied.

Melting points were recorded using open capillary tubes on a Büchi melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Specific optical rotations were measured at ambient temperature (23 $^{\circ}$ C) from CHCl₃ solutions on a Perkin-Elmer 243 polarimeter using a 1 mL cell with 1 dm path length. Infra-red spectra were

Table 3 ¹H NMR data for natural and synthetic loline dihydrochloride

	Natural (+)-loline dihydrochloride ^{<i>ab</i>}			Synthetic (+)-loline dihydrochloride ^{<i>a</i>}			
Position	δ (ppm)	Multiplicity	J/Hz	δ (ppm)	Multiplicity	J/Hz	
H1	4.23	dd	<2, 1.9	4.23	br d	2.3	
H2	4.79	dd	<2, 1.0	4.77-4.80	m	_	
H3 _A	4.15	dd	13.9, 1.0	4.12	dd	13.9, 1.3	
H3 _B	3.55	d	13.9	3.57	d	13.9, 1.3	
H5 _A	3.73	ddd	12.8, 8.2, 7.7	3.70	ddd	12.8, 9.5, 7.8	
H5 _B	3.73	ddd	12.8, 9.6, 5.0	3.75	ddd	12.8, 8.8, 5.5	
H6 _A	2.28	dddd	14.6, 8.2, 5.0, 4.8	2.38	dddd	15.4, 9.5, 5.5, 4.6	
H6 _B	2.37	ddd	14.6, 9.6, 7.7	2.27	ddd	15.4, 8.8, 7.8	
H7	4.72	dd	4.8, 2.2	Not observed ^c			
H8	4.79	dd	2.2, 1.9	4.77-4.80	m		
NH	4.76	br m		Obscured ^c		_	
NMe	2.79	S		2.79	S	_	

 Table 4
 Comparison of ¹³C NMR data for natural and synthetic loline dihydrochloride

Position	δ (ppm) Natural ^{ab}	Synthetic ^a	$\Delta \delta$				
C1	65.9	66.0	-0.1				
C2	73.9	74.0	-0.1				
C3	64.2	64.2	0.0				
C5	58.1	58.2	-0.1				
C6	31.6	31.6	0.0				
C7	83.2	83.4	-0.2				
C8	72.2	72.2	0.0				
NMe	36.5	36.4	+0.1				
^{<i>a</i>} Recorded in D ₂ O at 75 MHz. ^{<i>b</i>} Data taken from ref. 29.							

recorded on a Nicolet 5DXB spectrometer using a thin film supported between NaCl plates or KBr discs. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded in Fourier transform mode at the field strength specified either on a Bruker AC300 or AM400 spectrometer. Spectra were obtained from CDCl₃ solutions in 5 mm diameter tubes, and the chemical shift in ppm is quoted relative to the residual signals of chloroform ($\delta_{\rm H} = 7.25$ ppm, or $\delta_{\rm C} = 77.0$ ppm). Multiplicities in the ¹H NMR spectra are described as: s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, m = multiplet, br = broad; coupling constants are reported in Hz. Low (MS) and high (HRMS) resolution mass spectra were determined using a Kratos MS50 spectrometer. Ion mass/ charge (*m/z*) ratios are reported as values in atomic mass units.

X-Ray crystallographic structure determinations † were conducted on a Siemens P4 instrument controlled by the program XSCANS V2.20,³⁰ and equipped with a sealed tube Cu anode, a graphite monochromator, and a modified Siemens LT2 low temperature device. After verifying the quality of the crystal by means of a rotational photograph, the crystal was oriented using a set of reflections found by an automated search routine. This cell was then transformed to its highest symmetry setting, refined using high-angle reflections, and ambiguous symmetry elements checked by means of axial photographs and/or automated methods. Data were collected based on the assumed crystal system, including at least all the unique data for the particular Laue class, and their Bijvoet pairs. Data were automatically corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects by the diffractometer control program. Unless otherwise indicated, correction for effects of absorption anisotropy was carried out based on semi-empirical methods (psi-scans)³¹ as programmed in XEMP V4.3.32

The structures were solved using direct methods as programmed in SHELXS-97,³³ and any remaining atoms were subsequently found by difference Fourier map techniques using the program SHELXL-97.³³ Unless otherwise stated, hydrogen atoms were included in geometrically idealized positions, and refined as riding groups with an isotropic displacement parameter equal to 1.5 (methyl group) or 1.2 (all other types) times the U_{eq} of the atom to which it is attached. Where appropriate, an absolute structure parameter (Flack X parameter)³⁴ was refined in order to confirm or determine the absolute configuration of the structure under study.

1-[(3a*R*,6a*R*)-2,2-Dimethyltetrahydrofuro[2,3-*d*][1,3]dioxol-5-yl]but-3-en-1-ol (10)

A solution of 9¹⁷ (37 mg, 0.21 mmol) in THF (10 mL) was stirred over 4 Å molecular sieves for 30 min and then added dropwise to allylmagnesium chloride (0.16 mL, 2.0 M in THF, 0.32 mmol) in THF (10 mL) at 0 °C. The resulting mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 2 h and sat. aq. NH₄Cl (15 mL) and Et₂O (15 mL) were added. The phases were separated and the aqueous phase extracted with Et₂O (3×15 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine (5 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was further purified by column chromatography (eluting with 33–50% EtOAc in hexanes) to yield 10 (36 mg, 0.17 mmol, 80%) as a 1 : 1 mixture of diastereoisomers: IR (neat) 3478, 2984, 2936, 1374, 1216, 1163, 1054, 1020, 918, 850 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.32 (s, 6H), 1.51 (s, 6H), 1.74–2.01 (m, 4H), 2.20 (t, J = 7 Hz, 2H), 2.31 (t, J = 7 Hz, 2H), 2.47 (br s, 2H), 3.53–3.60 (m, 1H), 3.95 (br s, 1H), 4.15-4.22 (m, 2H), 4.75 (br s, 2H), 5.10-5.16 (m, 4H), 5.79–5.91 (m, 4H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 26.1, 26.2, 26.7, 26.8, 31.7, 34.8, 37.4, 38.6, 69.8, 72.0, 80.4, 80.5, 80.6, 80.7, 105.2, 105.4, 111.2, 111.4, 117.7, 117.8, 134.1, 134.3; MS (EI) m/z 215 (M + H)⁺, 199, 173, 157, 143, 139, 115; HRMS (EI) m/z 199.0969 (M - CH₃)⁺ (calcd for C₁₀H₁₅O₄: 199.1029).

(3a*R*,6a*R*)-5-(Buta-1,3-dienyl)-2,2-dimethyltetrahydrofuro-[2,3-*d*][1,3]dioxolane (11)

To a solution of **10** (867 mg, 4.05 mmol) and triethylamine (819 mg, 8.10 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (50 mL) at 0 °C was added dropwise methanesulfonyl chloride (557 mg, 4.86 mmol). The solution was allowed to warm to rt and stirred for 2 h. Sat. aq. NH₄Cl (15 mL) was added and the phases separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 15 mL), and the combined organic extracts were washed with brine (10 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated *in vacuo*. The resulting crude mesylate was dissolved in toluene (50 mL) and treated with 1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (DBU, 3.08 g, 20.3 mmol) at 100 °C for 9 h. The mixture was allowed to cool to rt, and sat.

[†] CCDC reference numbers 163574–163577. See http://www.rsc.org/ suppdata/p1/b1/b103936a/ for crystallographic files in .cif or other electronic format.

aq. NH₄Cl (25 mL), H₂O (5 mL) and Et₂O (25 mL) were added. The layers were separated and the aqueous phase extracted with Et_2O (3 × 20 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine (10 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography (eluting with 10-15% EtOAc in hexane) to yield crude 11 (~1 g) as a 15: 1 mixture of E and Z isomers, respectively. The mixture was dissolved in MeOH (6 mL), H₂O (30 mL) was added slowly, and the product was allowed to crystallize at 5 °C. The resulting fine yellow plates were collected by filtration and dried to afford 11 (508 mg, 2.59 mmol, 64% for two steps) with E: Z = 40: 1(determined by ¹H NMR analysis): $[a]_{D}^{23} - 34.3$ (c 1.23, CH₂Cl₂); IR (neat) 2987, 2976, 2961, 2931, 2882, 1371, 1256, 1157, 1081, 1048, 1013, 966, 928, 912, 858, 845 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 1.30 (s, 3H), 1.51 (s, 3H), 1.60 (ddd, J = 13, 11, 5 Hz, 1H), 2.15 (dd, J = 13, 4 Hz, 1H), 4.66 (ddd, J = 11, 7, 4 Hz, 1H), 4.72 (t, J = 4 Hz, 1H), 5.09 (dm, J = 10 Hz, 1H), 5.21 (dm, J = 15 Hz, 1H), 5.65 (ddm, J = 15, 7 Hz, 1H), 5.82 (d, J = 4 Hz, 1H), 6.24–6.38 (2H, m); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 26.1, 26.6, 39.6, 77.9, 80.5, 105.3, 111.0, 118.3, 131.3, 133.2, 136.1; MS (EI) m/z 196 M⁺, 181, 138, 121; HRMS (EI) m/z 196.1099 (calcd for C₁₁H₁₆O₃: 196.1099).

(*E*,3*R*,5*S*)-5-(Buta-1,3-dien-1-yl)-2,3-dihydroxyoxolane (12)

A solution of 11 (1.15 g, 5.88 mmol) in TFA-THF-H₂O (5:1:1, 40 mL) was stirred at 60 °C for 5 h. The mixture was allowed to cool and sat. aq. NaHCO₃ added until pH 7 was reached (ca. 40 mL). EtOAc (30 mL) was added and the phases separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc $(5 \times 40 \text{ mL})$, and the combined organic phases were washed with brine (20 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography (eluting with 50-66% EtOAc in hexanes) to yield 12 (820 mg, 5.25 mmol, 89%) as a variable mixture of anomers: IR (neat) 3348, 2940, 1610, 1417, 1358, 1009, 961, 970 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.8–2.2 (m, 4H), 3.0–3.6 (m, 4H), 4.2–4.35 (m, 2H), 4.7-4.85 (m, 2H), 5.05-5.45 (m, 6H), 5.55-5.8 (m, 2H), 6.15–6.4 (m, 4H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 38.1, 39.0, 71.5, 76.9, 80.0, 96.9, 102.6, 118.2, 132.2, 132.6, 133.1, 134.7, 135.9; MS (CI) m/z 156 M⁺, 139, 138, 110; HRMS (CI) m/z 156.0786 (calcd for C₈H₁₂O₃: 156.0786).

Methyl (E,3S)-3-hydroxyhepta-4,6-dienoate (15)

To a stirred suspension of 12 (820 mg, 5.25 mmol) and sodium periodate (1.46 g, 6.83 mmol) in ether (80 mL) at rt was added dropwise a pH 7 aqueous phosphate buffer solution (1.5 mL). After stirring for 3 h, Et₂O (100 mL) was added and the mixture was dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The resulting crude aldehyde 13 was dissolved in t-BuOH (100 mL) and 2-methylbutene (25 mL), and the solution was cooled to 0 °C and treated with a solution of NaClO₂ (4.37 g, 48.3 mmol) and $NaH_2PO_4 \cdot H_2O$ (5.07 g, 36.8 mmol) in H_2O (50 mL). The resulting mixture was stirred for 1 h while warming to ambient temperature and H₂O (150 mL) was added. The aqueous phase was separated, acidified to pH 3 with 10% aq. HCl, and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (5 × 100 mL). The combined organic extracts were dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated in vacuo to yield crude carboxylic acid 31. The acid was immediately dissolved in Et₂O (40 mL) and diazomethane (ca. 22 mL, 0.25 M in Et₂O, 5.5 mmol) was added until a light yellow colour persisted. The solution was stirred at rt overnight and concentrated in vacuo to give 950 mg of crude methyl ester 14. The ester was dissolved in MeOH (50 mL), K₂CO₃ (100 mg, 0.72 mmol) and H₂O (10 drops) were added, and the resulting mixture was stirred at rt for 1 h. Solid NH₄Cl was added (100 mg) and the mixture was concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography (eluting with 15-25% EtOAc in hexanes) to give 15 (717 mg, 4.59 mmol, 87% for four steps) as a colourless oil: $[a]_{D}^{23}$ +5.7 (c 2.11, CH₂Cl₂); IR (neat) 3448, 2953, 1732,

1438, 1276, 1176, 1100, 1006, 907 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.50–2.64 (m, 2H), 3.00 (br s, OH), 3.70 (s, 3H), 4.58 (q, *J* = 6 Hz, 1H), 5.11 (dm, *J* = 10 Hz, 1H), 5.22 (dm, *J* = 16 Hz, 1H), 5.70 (ddm, *J* = 15, 6 Hz, 1H), 6.25–6.39 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 41.1, 51.8, 68.3, 118.2, 131.5, 133.8, 136.0, 172.5; MS (CI) *m*/*z* 156 M⁺, 139, 124; HRMS (CI) *m*/*z* 156.0786 (calcd for C₈H₁₂O₃: 156.0786).

Methyl (*E*,3*S*)-3-[(*tert*-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy]hepta-4,6dienoate (16)

To a stirred solution of 15 (561 mg, 3.59 mmol) and imidazole (416 mg, 6.1 mmol) in DMF (20 mL) at rt was added tertbutyldimethylsilyl chloride (676 mg, 4.49 mmol). After stirring of the solution for 24 h, H₂O (50 mL) and Et₂O (150 mL) were added and the phases separated. The organic phase was washed with H₂O (20 mL) and brine (20 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. The slightly volatile residue was purified by column chromatography (eluting with 25% Et₂O in pentane) to yield 16 (942 mg, 3.48 mmol, 97%) as a colourless oil: $[a]_{D}^{23}$ -2.2 (c 2.77, CH₂Cl₂); IR (neat) 2954, 2857, 1742, 1437, 1361, 1256, 1107, 1004, 836, 778 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.02 (s, 3H), 0.03 (s, 3H), 0.85 (s, 9H), 2.43 (dd, J = 15, 5 Hz, 1H), 2.54 (dd, J = 15, 8 Hz, 1H), 3.65 (s, 3H), 4.62 (q, J = 6 Hz, 1H), 5.08 (dm, J = 10 Hz, 1H), 5.19 (dm, J = 15 Hz, 1H), 5.67 (dd, J = 15, 7 Hz, 1H), 6.18 (dd, J = 15, 10 Hz, 1H), 6.29 (dt, J = 16, 10 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta - 5.2, -4.4,$ 18.0, 25.7 (3C), 43.6, 51.5, 70.1, 117.6, 130.7, 135.5, 136.2, 171.4; MS (CI) *m*/*z* 271 (M + H)⁺, 255, 213, 197, 139; HRMS (CI) m/z 271.1730 (calcd for C₁₄H₂₇SiO₃: 271.1729).

(*E*,3*S*)-*N*-Hydroxy-3-[(*tert*-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy]hepta-4,6-dienamide (17)

To a solution of hydroxylamine hydrochloride (1.21 g, 17.4 mmol) in MeOH (10 mL) at rt was added a solution of potassium hydroxide in MeOH (16 mL, 1.8 M, 29 mmol). After stirring the mixture for 30 min, the precipitated potassium chloride was allowed to settle and the supernatant liquor added to the neat ester 16 (942 mg, 3.48 mmol) during 3 h (10 mL was added during the first 10 min). The solution was stirred at 35 °C for a further 5 h, H₂O (60 mL) was added, and the mixture was acidified to pH 3 with 10% aq. HCl. The solution was extracted with Et₂O (6×90 mL), and the combined organic extracts were dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography (eluting with 50% EtOAc in hexanes) to give 17 (860 mg, 3.17 mmol, 91%) as a colourless oil: $[a]_{D}^{23}$ -2.3 (c 0.87, CH₂Cl₂); IR (neat) 3211, 3086, 3040, 3002, 2955, 2928, 2885, 2856, 1650, 1606, 1471, 1463, 1389, 1361, 1255, 1109, 1074, 1004, 950, 904, 837, 778; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.05 (s, 3H), 0.07 (s, 3H), 0.89 (s, 9H), 2.34 (dd, *J* = 15, 7 Hz, 1H), 2.45 (dd, *J* = 14, 4 Hz, 1H), 4.55 (q, *J* = 6 Hz, 1H), 5.10 (dm, J = 10 Hz, 1H), 5.20 (dm, J = 16 Hz, 1H), 5.64 (dd, J = 15, 7 Hz, 1H), 6.18 (dd, J = 15, 10 Hz, 1H), 6.28 (dt, J = 16, 10 Hz, 1H), 8.0–9.5 (br s, NHOH); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, $CDCl_3$) $\delta = 5.1, -4.5, 18.1, 25.8 (3C), 42.2, 69.8, 118.2, 131.4, 18.2, 18$ 134.2, 135.9, 168.7; MS (CI) *m*/*z* 272 (M + H)⁺, 271 M⁺, 270, 256, 245, 214, 199, 140; HRMS (CI) m/z 272.1681 (calcd for C₁₃H₂₆NO₃Si: 272.1682).

[(2S,4S)-2-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-1,3-dioxan-4-yl]methanol (19)

A stirred biphasic mixture of (S)-butane-1,2,4-triol²⁰ (2.80 g, 26.4 mmol) and anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ (80 mL) at rt under Ar, was treated with 4-methoxybenzaldehyde dimethyl acetal (4.70 mL, $\rho = 1.07, 5.03$ g, 27.6 mmol). After addition of PPTS (330 mg, 1.31 mmol), the mixture was heated at reflux for 20 h. The resulting homogeneous solution was allowed to cool and was concentrated *in vacuo*, and the crude residue was purified by column chromatography (eluting with 50% EtOAc in hexanes) to afford **19** (3.81 g, 17.0 mmol, 64%) as a colourless oil: $[al_{D}^{23}]$

+9.9 (*c* 0.96, CHCl₃); IR (neat) 3500, 2835, 1613, 1587, 1514, 1507, 1392, 1302, 1242, 1171, 1028, 827, 778 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.39 (dm, *J* = 13 Hz, 1H), 1.85 (qd, *J* = 13, 5 Hz, 1H), 2.51 (t, *J* = 6 Hz, 1H), 3.60 (t, *J* = 5 Hz, 2H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.86–3.97 (m, 2H), 4.25 (dd, *J* = 12, 5 Hz, 1H), 5.46 (s, 1H), 6.88 (d, *J* = 9 Hz, 2H), 7.41 (d, *J* = 9 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 26.7, 55.2, 65.5, 66.4, 77.4, 101.0, 113.5 (2C), 127.3 (2C), 130.8, 159.9; MS (FAB) *m*/*z* 225 (M + H)⁺, 223, 193, 137; HRMS (FAB) *m*/*z* 225.1128 (calcd for C₁₂H₁₇O₄: 225.1127).

(2S,4S)-2-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-1,3-dioxane-4-carbaldehyde

To a stirred solution of oxalyl chloride (3.84 mL, 5.61 g, 44.1 mmol) in anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ (60 mL) at -60 °C under Ar was added dropwise a solution of dimethyl sulfoxide (5.46 mL, 6.01 g, 77.0 mmol) in anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ (50 mL) during 20 min. After stirring the mixture for an additional 25 min a solution of 19 (6.15 g, 27.4 mmol) in anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ (40 mL) was added during 20 min. The resulting cloudy mixture was stirred for a further 20 min, treated with triethylamine (26.8 mL, $\rho = 0.726$, 19.5 g, 193 mmol), and was allowed to warm to rt during 1 h. The reaction mixture was quenched with H₂O (100 mL), and the layers were vigorously shaken and then separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 50 mL), and the combined organic extracts were washed successively with H₂O (50 mL) and brine (20 mL), and dried (Na₂SO₄). The extract was concentrated in vacuo (CARE! stench), and the crude residue was purified by column chromatography (eluting with 50-80% EtOAc in hexanes) to yield a mixture of the aldehyde and inseparable oligomers (5.42 g) as a clear oil: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃, selected for free aldehyde) δ 1.79 (dm, J = 13 Hz, 1H), 1.97 (qd, J = 13, 5 Hz, 1H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 4.01 (td, J = 12, 3 Hz, 1H), 4.30–4.40 (m, 2H), 5.57 (s, 1H), 6.92 (d, J = 9 Hz, 2H), 7.46 (d, J = 9 Hz, 2H), 9.73 (s, 1H).

(2*S*,4*S*)-4-(Buta-1,3-dienyl)-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1,3-dioxane (20)

A stirred suspension of allyltriphenylphosphonium bromide (14.0 g, 36.6 mmol) in anhydrous THF (250 mL) at -30 °C under Ar was treated dropwise with n-butyllithium (21.6 mL, 1.47 M in hexanes, 31.8 mmol) and the resulting orange solution was stirred for 40 min. A solution of the aldehyde and its oligomers obtained (5.42 g) in anhydrous THF (20 mL) was added dropwise, causing a lightening in colour to yellow and the formation of a precipitate. The mixture was allowed to warm to rt over 2 h and quenched by the addition of H₂O (50 mL). After dilution with Et₂O (200 mL), the layers were shaken vigorously and separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with Et_2O (2 × 50 mL) and the combined organic extracts were washed with brine (50 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography (eluting with 20% Et₂O in hexanes) to yield 20 (2.68 g, 10.9 mmol, 40% from 19, colourless oil) as an inseparable mixture of isomers (E: Z = 3: 7 by ¹H NMR): IR (neat) 2955, 2834, 1724, 1613, 1588, 1515, 1462, 1392, 1370, 1301, 1241, 1212, 1170, 1116, 1066, 911, 880, 828, 779 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃, *E*–*Z* mixture) δ 1.47–1.61 (m, 1H_{*E*+*Z*}), 1.85–2.04 (m, $1H_{E+Z}$, 3.78 (s, $3H_Z$), 3.79 (s, $3H_E$), 3.92–4.10 (m, $1H_{E+Z}$), 4.26 (dd, J = 12, 5 Hz, $1H_{E+Z}$), 4.40 (ddd, J = 11, 5, 2 Hz, $1H_E$), 4.81 (ddd, J = 11, 9, 2 Hz, 1H_z), 5.07–5.32 (m, 2H_{E+Z}), 5.49– 5.57 (m, $2H_z + 1H_E$), 5.78 (dd, J = 15, 6 Hz, $1H_E$), 6.09 (t, J = 11 Hz, $1H_z$), 6.22–6.40 (m, $2H_E$), 6.68 (dt, J = 17, 11 Hz, $1H_Z$), 6.88 (d, J = 7 Hz, $2H_{E+Z}$), 7.42 (d, J = 7 Hz, $2H_Z$), 7.43 $(d, J = 5 \text{ Hz}, 2H_E)$; ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃, *E*–*Z* mixture) δ 31.1_{*E*}, 31.2_{*Z*}, 55.0_{*E*+*Z*}, 66.5_{*E*+*Z*}, 73.6_{*Z*}, 76.7_{*E*}, 100.8_{*E*+*Z*}, 113.3_{E+Z} (2C), 117.7_{E} , 119.3_{Z} , 127.2_{E+Z} (2C), 130.5_{Z} , 130.8_{Z} , 131.0_E , 131.0_E , 131.1_Z , 131.6_Z , 132.9_E , 136.2_E , 159.7_{E+Z} ; MS (CI) m/z 246 M⁺, 221, 200, 173, 137, 121, 109, 93; HRMS (CI) m/z 246.1256 (calcd for C15H18O3: 246.1256).

(E,S)-3-(4-Methoxybenzyloxy)hepta-4,6-dien-1-ol (21)

To a stirred solution of 20 (319 mg, 1.30 mmol) in anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) at 0 °C under Ar was added dropwise a solution of diisobutylaluminium hydride (12.7 mL, 0.51 M in CH₂Cl₂, 6.5 mmol). The cooling bath was removed and the clear mixture allowed to stir at rt for 1.5 h. The reaction was quenched with H₂O (1 mL, CARE!) and stirred vigorously for 10 min. After dilution of the mixture with CH₂Cl₂ (50 mL), solid Na₂SO₄ (20 g) was added and the resulting suspension stirred vigorously for 10 min. Solids were removed by filtration through a Celite pad and the residue was washed thoroughly with CH_2Cl_2 (4 × 50 mL). The combined filtrate and washings were concentrated in vacuo to yield 319 mg of crude dienol isomers. The mixture of isomers (E : Z = 3 : 7) was dissolved in PhH (30 mL) and the solution was treated with iodine (4 mg, 16 µmol, ca. 1 mmol%). After transfer to a photolysis apparatus (quartz window) and a 10 min sparge with Ar, the solution was irradiated with a medium-pressure Hanovia Hg discharge lamp (120 V, 450 W) for 1 h. The solution was diluted with EtOAc (20 mL), and the combined organic solutions were washed successively with 10% w/v aq. Na₂S₂O₃ (20 mL) and brine (10 mL), and dried (Na₂SO₄). The organic solution was concentrated in vacuo, and the residue was purified by column chromatography (eluting with 40% EtOAc in hexanes) to yield 21 (204 mg, 0.82 mmol, 63%) as a clear oil (E: Z > 95: 5 by ¹H NMR analysis): $[a]_{D}^{23}$ -60.3 (c 1.10, CHCl₃); IR (neat) 2829, 1609, 1584, 1507, 1462, 1300, 1240, 1171, 1030, 954, 906, 821 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.70–1.94 (m, 2H), 2.49 (br s, 1H), 3.65–3.80 (m, 2H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 4.05 (td, J = 8, 5 Hz, 1H), 4.28 (d, J = 11Hz, 1H), 4.55 (d, J = 11 Hz, 1H), 5.15 (dd, J = 10, 1 Hz, 1H), 5.25 (dd, J = 16, 1 Hz, 1H), 5.65 (dd, J = 15, 8 Hz, 1H), 6.23 (dd, J = 15, 11 Hz, 1H), 6.38 (dt, J = 17, 10 Hz, 1H), 6.88 (d, J = 9 Hz, 2H), 7.24 (d, J = 9 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) & 37.9, 55.2, 60.6, 69.9, 78.6, 113.8 (2C), 118.0, 129.4 (2C), 130.2, 133.3, 133.6, 136.1, 159.2; MS (CI) m/z 248 (M⁺), 137, 121, 109; HRMS (CI) m/z 248.1409 (calcd for C₁₅H₂₀O₃: 248.1412).

(*E*,3*S*)-*N*-Hydroxy-3-(4-methoxybenzyloxy)hepta-4,6-dienamide (24)

A stirred solution of oxalyl chloride (1.0 mL, 1.46 g, 11.5 mmol) in anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) at -60 °C under Ar was treated dropwise by cannula with a cold solution of anhydrous dimethyl sulfoxide (1.45 mL, 1.60 g, 20.5 mmol) in anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) over 10 min. After an additional 20 min, a solution of 21 (1.82 g, 7.33 mmol) in anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) was added dropwise during 5 min. The resulting cloudy mixture was stirred for 25 min and treated with triethylamine (7.1 mL, 5.15 g, 51.0 mmol). The mixture was allowed to warm to rt during 1.5 h, H₂O (30 mL) was added, and the layers were separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ $(3 \times 20 \text{ mL})$, and the combined organic extracts were washed successively with H₂O (20 mL) and brine (20 mL), and dried (Na₂SO₄). The extract was oncentrated in vacuo to yield 2.44 g of crude (E,S)-3-(4-methoxybenzyloxy)hepta-4,6-dienal. This material was immediately dissolved in a mixture of t-BuOH (150 mL) and 2-methylbut-2-ene (36 mL), and the resulting solution was cooled to 0 °C with stirring. A solution of NaClO₂ (3.30 g, 36.7 mmol) and NaH₂PO₄·H₂O (5.0 g, 36.2 mmol) in H₂O (75 mL) was added, the cooling bath was removed, and the biphasic mixture was stirred vigorously for 40 min. H₂O (100 mL) and CH₂Cl₂ (100 mL) were added and the pH of the aqueous layer was adjusted to 3 by careful addition of 1 M HCl. The layers were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 30 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with H₂O (50 mL) and brine (50 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo to afford 2.67 g of crude 22. Without further purification, 22 was dissolved in anhydrous THF (50 mL), the solution was placed under an atmosphere of Ar, and pyridine (1.20 mL, 1.17 g, 14.9 mmol) was added. The resulting solution was treated with N-(trifluoroacetyloxy)succinimide (2.32 g, 11.0 mmol), and the mixture was stirred for 20 h at rt The mixture was diluted with EtOAc (100 mL) and washed successively with 0.2 M HCl (50 mL), sat. aq. NaHCO₃ (50 mL) and brine (20 mL), and dried (Na₂SO₄). The solution was concentrated in vacuo to yield 3.13 g of 23. In a separate flask, a stirred suspension of HONH₂·HCl (2.54 g, 36.5 mmol) in anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) at 0 °C under Ar, was treated dropwise with a solution of Et₃N (10.2 mL, 7.41 g, 73.3 mmol) in anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) during 15 min. The resulting suspension was stirred for 20 min and treated dropwise with a solution of the previously prepared succinimidyl ester 23 (3.13 g) in anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) during 10 min. The mixture was allowed to warm to rt and stirred for a further 3 h, after which EtOAc (100 mL) was added and the solution shaken with sat. aq. NH₄Cl (75 mL). The layers were separated and the aqueous phase extracted EtOAc (3×20 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine (20 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography (eluting with 5% MeOH in CH₂Cl₂) to yield pure 24 (1.51 g, 5.44 mmol, 75%) as a pale golden oil: $[a]_{D}^{23}$ -30.2 (c 0.97, CHCl₃); IR (neat) 3200, 2900, 1651, 1614, 1514, 1463, 1302, 1249, 1175, 1071, 1034, 823 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.28–2.43 (m, 2H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 4.19 (q, J = 7 Hz, 1H), 4.27 (d, J = 11 Hz, 1H), 4.48 (d, J = 11 Hz, 1H), 5.16 (d, J = 10 Hz, 1H), 5.26 (d, J = 16 Hz, 1H), 5.56 J = 16, 10 Hz, 1H), 6.86 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.20 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 38.8, 55.2, 70.4, 75.6, 113.9 (2C), 118.8, 129.5, 129.5 (2C), 131.6, 134.2, 135.7, 159.3, 168.7; MS (FAB) *m*/*z* 278 (M + H)⁺, 121; HRMS (FAB) *m*/*z* 278.1391 (calcd for C₁₅H₂₀O₄N: 278.1392).

Oxidation of hydroxamic acids 17 and 24 to acylnitrosodienes 25 and 26 and their *in situ* cycloaddition (Table 1)

A solution of the hydroxamic acid (17 or 24, 5 mmol) in the specified solvent (40 mL) was added dropwise during 30 min to a stirred solution of the periodate oxidant (10 mmol) in the same solvent (60 mL) at the indicated temperature. After stirring for an additional 2 h, the reaction was quenched with sat. aq. Na₂S₂O₃ (40 mL). The biphasic mixture was stirred vigorously for 45 min at rt and the layers were separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 20 mL) and the combined organic extracts were washed successively with H₂O (2 × 10 mL) and brine (10 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography (eluting with 60–75% EtOAc). In each case the less polar *endo* isomer (27 or 29) was eluted before the corresponding *exo* isomer (28 or 30).

(4a*S*,5*S*)-5-[(*tert*-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy]-2,4a,5,6-tetrahydro-7*H*-pyrrolo[1,2-*b*]oxazin-7-one (27). Mp 69–71 °C; $[a]_D^{23} +93.9$ (*c* 1.99, Et₂O); IR (CDCl₃) 2955, 2929, 2899, 2858, 1712, 1471, 1463, 1387, 1258, 1129, 1101, 1062, 839; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.71 (s, 3H), 0.79 (s, 3H), 0.87 (s, 9H), 2.26 (dd, *J* = 17, 4 Hz, 1H), 2.65 (dd, *J* = 17, 7 Hz, 1H), 4.23–4.30 (m, 1H), 4.37–4.40 (m, 1H), 4.47–4.53 (m, 1H), 4.72–4.79 (m, 1H), 5.88–5.99 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ –5.0, –4.8, 18.0, 25.6, 38.6, 58.2, 64.8, 68.6, 122.7, 125.6, 168.2; MS (CI) *m/z* 270 (M + H)⁺, 254, 212, 170, 101, 75; HRMS (CI) *m/z* 270.1523 (calcd for C₁₃H₂₄NO₃Si: 270.1526).

(4aR,5S)-5-[(tert-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy]-2,4a,5,6-tetra-

hydro-7*H*-pyrrolo[1,2-*b*]oxazin-7-one (28). Mp 110–111 °C; $[a]_{\rm D}^{23}$ -91.0 (*c* 2.90, CHCl₃); IR (neat) 2950, 2927, 2856, 1719, 1470, 1462, 1445, 1371, 1359, 1350, 1283, 1259, 1214, 1090, 1049, 1004, 977, 922, 899, 837, 780; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.78 (s, 3H), 0.90 (s, 3H), 0.89 (s, 9H), 2.43 (dd, *J* = 17, 6 Hz, 1H), 2.64 (dd, J = 17, 8 Hz, 1H), 4.04–4.14 (m, 2H), 4.29 (td, J = 16, 3 Hz, 1H), 4.66 (dd, J = 16, 2 Hz, 1H), 5.88–5.99 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ –4.9, –4.7, 17.9, 25.6, 39.1, 61.4, 69.0, 124.1, 125.3, 166.5; MS (CI) *m*/*z* 270 (M + H)⁺, 254, 212, 170, 123, 109, 101, 97, 71, 69; HRMS (CI) *m*/*z* 270.1522 (calcd for C₁₃H₂₄NO₃Si: 270.1526).

C₁₃H₂₃NO₃Si, M = 269.41, monoclinic, space group $P2_1$, a = 13.645(2), b = 6.180(1) c = 18.791(3) Å, $\beta = 94.73(1)^\circ$, V = 1579.2(4) Å³, T = 290 K, Z = 4, μ (Cu-Ka) = 1.327 mm⁻¹, colorless block, crystal dimensions $0.20 \times 0.20 \times 0.20$ mm. The crystal was oriented from a total of 63 reflections with $5.23 < \theta < 23.34^\circ$. A total of 3443 data were measured (2.4 $< \theta < 57.1^\circ$), with 2862 independent reflections (merging $R_{int} = 0.039$). Full matrix least squares based on F^2 yielded the residuals of R1 = 0.068, wR2 = 0.188, for 328 refined parameters and 7 restraints (floating origin restraint, and distance restraints to aid in the modeling of the disordered TBDMS groups).

The TBDMS groups of both independent molecules found in the asymmetric unit were found to be disordered over two positions, and careful examination of the difference Fourier maps revealed the positions of all the atoms. Two models were considered in the final refinement of the structure: one where the TBDMS group atoms were kept with isotropic displacement parameters (a), and one with fully anisotropic ones (b). Though model (b) yielded slightly lower residuals than (a), it also added several new refined variables. Thus, in order to maintain a favorable data-to-parameter ratio, model (a) was selected as the end point in this refinement. For this refinement, the final value of the absolute structure parameter was -0.01(10) confirming the fact that the depicted model accurately represents the enantiomer of the molecule under study.

(4a*S*,5*S*)-5-(4-Methoxybenzyloxy)-2,4a,5,6-tetrahydro-7*H*pyrrolo[1,2-*b*]oxazin-7-one (29). Mp 80–90 °C (Et₂O); $[a]_D^{23}$ +86.0 (*c* 0.71, CHCl₃); IR (neat) 2898, 2834, 1721, 1610, 1513, 1462, 1354, 1247, 1173, 1090, 1031, 983, 823, 669 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.40 (dd, *J* = 17, 5 Hz, 1H), 2.63 (dd, *J* = 17, 7 Hz, 1H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 4.20–4.30 (m, 2H), 4.45 (d, *J* = 12 Hz, 1H), 4.46–4.55 (m, 1H), 4.54 (d, *J* = 12 Hz, 1H), 4.80 (dm, *J* = 17 Hz, 1H), 5.95–6.05 (m, 2H), 6.89 (d, *J* = 9 Hz, 2H), 7.25 (d, *J* = 9 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 35.1, 55.2, 56.8, 68.3, 69.8, 71.3, 113.9 (2C), 122.4, 125.7, 129.0, 129.3 (2C), 159.4, 168.4; MS (CI) *m*/*z* 276 (M + H)⁺, 121; HRMS (CI) *m*/*z* 276.1239 (calcd for C₁₅H₁₈NO₄: 276.1236).

(4a*R*,5*S*)-5-(4-Methoxybenzyloxy)-2,4a,5,6-tetrahydro-7*H*pyrrolo[1,2-*b*]oxazin-7-one (30). Oil; $[a]_D^{23} - 75.5$ (*c* 2.45, CHCl₃); IR (neat) 2905, 1731, 1614, 1514, 1455, 1359, 1247, 1174, 1030, 821 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.52 (dd, *J* = 17, 5 Hz, 1H), 2.66 (dd, *J* = 17, 8 Hz, 1H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.90 (ddd, *J* = 8, 6, 4 Hz, 1H), 4.21–4.35 (m, 2H), 4.48 (d, *J* = 11 Hz, 1H), 4.53 (d, *J* = 11 Hz, 1H), 4.68 (dd, *J* = 15, 3 Hz, 1H), 5.87–5.93 (m, 2H), 6.90 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.26 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 35.7, 55.2, 59.0, 68.6, 71.2, 73.9, 113.9 (2C), 124.4, 125.3, 128.9, 129.3 (2C), 159.5, 167.0; MS (CI) *m*/*z* 276 (M + H)⁺, 121; HRMS (CI) *m*/*z* 276.1238 (calcd for C₁₅H₁₈NO₄: 276.1236).

(*Z*,4*S*,5*S*)-4-[(*tert*-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy]-5-(3-hydroxypropenyl)pyrrolidin-2-one (32)

The oxazine **27** (195 mg, 0.72 mmol) was reduced to **32** (185 mg, 0.68 mmol, 94%) by the protocol below for the conversion of **29** to **33**. Compound **32**: colourless solid; mp 97–98 °C; $[a]_D^{23} + 18.8$ (*c* 0.66, CH₂Cl₂); IR (neat) 3417, 3221, 2926, 1650, 1362, 1256, 1098, 1038, 949, 840, 775 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.03 (s, 3H), 0.04 (s, 3H), 0.86 (s, 9H), 2.29 (dd, *J* = 17, 4 Hz, 1H), 2.56 (dd, *J* = 17, 6 Hz, 1H), 4.14 (dd, *J* = 13, 5 Hz, 1H), 4.29 (dd, *J* = 13, 7 Hz, 1H), 4.46 (td, *J* = 6, 3 Hz, 1H), 4.53 (dd,

 $J = 9, 5 \text{ Hz}, 5.67 \text{ (ddt}, J = 11, 9, 1 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 5.87 \text{ (ddd}, J = 11, 7, 5 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 6.49 \text{ (br s, 1H); }^{13}\text{C NMR} (75 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3) \delta -5.0, -4.9, 18.0, 25.6 (3C), 41.0, 56.1, 58.0, 70.1, 127.2, 133.1, 176.5; MS (CI)$ *m*/*z*272 (M + H)⁺, 254, 214, 198, 122; HRMS (CI)*m*/*z*272.1679 (calcd for C₁₃H₂₆NO₃Si: 272.1682).

(*Z*,4*S*,5*S*)-5-(3-Hydroxypropenyl)-4-(4-methoxybenzyloxy)pyrrolidin-2-one (33)

A stirred suspension of 29 (548 mg, 1.99 mmol) and Na₂HPO₄ (3.40 g, 23.9 mmol) in anhydrous EtOH (40 mL) at 0 °C under Ar was treated with 3 equal portions of 6 wt% Na(Hg) (total of 7.60 g, 19.8 mmol e⁻) at 2 hourly intervals. After an additional 14 h at 0 °C, the reaction was quenched with sat. aq. NH₄Cl (20 mL) and the mixture was stirred vigorously for 20 min. The mixture was diluted with H₂O (20 mL) and EtOAc (20 mL) and filtered to remove residual Hg. The collected solids were washed successively with H_2O (10 mL) and EtOAc (3 × 10 mL), and the two layers of the filtrate and combined washings were separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc (3×20) mL), and the combined organic extracts were washed with brine (20 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo to yield pure 33 (504 mg, 1.82 mmol, 91%) as a colorless solid: mp 112–114 °C (EtOAc); $[a]_{D}^{23}$ +26.8 (c 0.91, CHCl₃); IR (KBr) 3253, 1676, 1611, 1510, 1443, 1351, 1304, 1244, 1063, 1033, 1002, 816 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.45 (dd, J = 17, 5 Hz, 1H), 2.53 (dd, J = 17, 7 Hz, 1H), 3.10 (br s, 1H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 4.08 (dd, J = 13, 5 Hz, 1H), 4.19–4.30 (m, 2H), 4.39 (d, J = 11 Hz, 1H), 4.44 (d, J = 11 Hz, 1H), 4.66 (dd, J = 9, 6 Hz, 1H), 5.77 (t, J = 10 Hz, 1H), 5.91 (dt, J = 10, 7 Hz, 1H), 6.87 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.09 (br s, 1H), 7.22 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 37.1, 54.6, 55.2, 58.1, 71.3, 75.1, 113.9 (2C), 127.2, 129.3 (2C), 129.3, 133.2, 159.4, 175.8; MS (FAB) m/z 278 (M + H)⁺, 121; HRMS (FAB) m/z 278.1397 (calcd for C₁₅H₂₀NO₄: 278.1392).

(1*S*,7a*S*)-1-[(*tert*-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy]-1,2,5,7a-tetrahydro-3*H*-pyrrolizin-3-one (34)

The hydroxylactam **32** (104 mg, 0.39 mmol) was transformed to **34** (57.4 mg, 0.23 mmol, 58%) by the protocol below for the conversion of **33** to **35**. The product was purified by column chromatography (eluting with 35% EtOAc in hexanes) to give **34** as a colourless oil: $[a]_{D}^{23} - 71.3$ (*c* 1.37, CH₂Cl₂); IR (neat) 2926, 2854, 1704, 1471, 1378, 1254, 1085, 942, 837, 778 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.00 (s, 3H), 0.01 (s, 3H), 0.79 (s, 9H), 2.17 (d, *J* = 16 Hz, 1H), 2.81 (dd, *J* = 16, 4 Hz, 1H), 3.64 (dm, *J* = 15 Hz, 1H), 4.33 (ddt, *J* = 15, 4, 2 Hz, 1H), 4.52 (t, *J* = 4 Hz, 1H), 4.62–4.65 (m, 1H), 5.67 (dq, *J* = 6, 2 Hz, 1H), 5.85 (dd, *J* = 6, 2 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -5.1, -4.7, 18.0, 25.5 (3C), 44.8, 50.0, 71.2, 74.1, 126.2, 128.6, 176.5; MS (CI) *m*/*z* 254 (M + H)⁺, 238, 196; HRMS (CI) *m*/*z* 254.1577 (calcd for C₁₃H₂₄NO₂Si: 254.1576).

(1*S*,7a*S*)-1-(4-Methoxybenzyloxy)-1,2,5,7a-tetrahydro-3*H*-pyrrolizin-3-one (35)

A stirred solution of **33** (400 mg, 1.44 mmol) in anhydrous CH_2Cl_2 (60 mL) at 0 °C under Ar was treated with Et_3N (0.40 mL, 290 mg, 2.87 mmol) followed by methanesulfonyl chloride (0.17 mL, 252 mg, 2.20 mmol). After stirring for 30 min, the mixture was allowed to warm to rt and stirred for a further 20 min. Sat. aq. NH_4Cl (20 mL) was added and the mixture was stirred vigorously for 5 min. H_2O (10 mL) was added, and the layers were shaken and the phases were separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 10 mL), and the combined organic extracts were washed with brine (10 mL), dried (Na_2SO_4), and concentrated *in vacuo* to yield 553 mg of the crude mesylate. This material was immediately dissolved in anhydrous THF (10 mL) and the solution was added dropwise to a stirred solution of freshly prepared lithium diisopropyl-

amide (2.0 mmol) in anhydrous THF (30 mL) at -78 °C under Ar. After stirring at -78 °C for 1.5 h, the mixture was allowed to warm to rt, stirred for a further 30 min, and quenched with aq. NH₄Cl (20 mL). The mixture was diluted with H₂O (10 mL) and EtOAc (15 mL), the layers were shaken, and the phases were separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$ and the combined organic extracts were washed with brine (15 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography (eluting with 5% MeOH in CH₂Cl₂) to yield 35 (326 mg, 1.26 mmol, 88%) as a colourless oil which slowly crystallised upon standing: mp 55–56 °C; [a]²³_D –72.0 (c 0.50, CHCl₃); IR (neat) 2920, 1693, 1612, 1513, 1463, 1392, 1352, 1301, 1246, 1172, 1076, 1031, 939, 820 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.48 (d, J = 17 Hz, 1H), 2.79 (dd, J = 17, 5 Hz, 1H), 3.70 (dm, J = 15 Hz, 1H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 4.29 (t, J = 4 Hz, 1H), 4.37 (d, J = 12 Hz, 1H), 4.43 (ddd, J = 14, 4, 2 Hz, 1H), 4.50 (d, J = 12 Hz, 1H), 4.73-4.80 (m, 1H), 5.86-5.91 (m, 1H), 5.92-5.98 (m, 1H), 6.87 (d, J = 9 Hz, 2H), 7.20 (d, J = 9 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) & 40.8, 49.6, 55.2, 70.3, 72.8, 76.4, 113.7 (2C), 126.0, 129.0 (2C), 129.2, 129.6, 159.2, 175.9; MS (CI) m/z 260 $(M + H)^+$, 138, 121; HRMS (CI) *m*/*z* 260.1283 (calcd for C₁₅H₁₈NO₃: 260.1287).

(1*S*,7a*R*)-1-[(*tert*-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy]-7a-hydroxy-1,2,5,7a-tetrahydro-3*H*-pyrrolizin-3-one (36)

A solution of dimethyldioxirane in acetone (1.8 mL, ca. 45 µmol) was added to 34 (7.6 mg, 30 µmol) at 0 °C. After stirring of the solution for 2 h, a solution of KOH (1.0 mL, 0.05 M in EtOH) was added, the mixture was filtered through silica gel, and the collected solids were washed with EtOAc-Et₃N (10:1). The filtrate and combined washings were concentrated in vacuo and the residue was purified by column chromatography [eluting with hexanes-EtOAc-Et₃N (10: 20: 1)] to yield 36 (7.4 mg, 28 µmol, 92%) as a colourless oil: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.06 (s, 6H), 0.83 (s, 9H), 2.18 (d, J = 16 Hz, 1H), 3.19 (dd, J = 16, 4 Hz, 1H), 3.83 (br d, J = 16 Hz, 1H), 4.24 (dt, J = 16, 1Hz, 1H), 4.41 (d, J = 4 Hz, 1H), 5.40 (br OH), 5.86 (dt, J = 6, 2Hz, 1H), 6.06 (br d, J = 6 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -4.9, -4.7, 18.0, 25.6 (3C), 43.2, 48.5, 75.2, 105.2, 129.3, 130.6, 177.3; MS (CI) m/z 270 (M + H)⁺, 252 (M - OH)⁺, 194, 152; HRMS (CI) *m/z* 270.1527 (calcd for C₁₃H₂₄NO₃Si: 270.1526).

(1*S*)-1-[(*tert*-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy]-1,2-dihydro-3*H*-pyrrolizin-3-one (37)

A solution of acetic acid (2 mL, 50% v/v in CH₂Cl₂) was added to **36** (1.0 mg, 3.7 µmol). After stirring at rt for 10 min, the solution was concentrated *in vacuo* to yield pure **37** (0.9 mg, 3.7 µmol, 100%) as a colourless oil: $[a]_D^{23} - 24.7$ (*c* 1.79, CH₂Cl₂); IR (neat) 2953, 2927, 2856, 1763, 1463, 1393, 1294, 1274, 1086, 936, 837, 779 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.13 (s, 3H), 0.15 (s, 3H), 0.91 (s, 9H), 2.88 (dd, *J* = 18, 3 Hz, 1H), 3.34 (dd, *J* = 18, 7 Hz, 1H), 5.28 (ddd, *J* = 7, 3, 1 Hz, 1H), 6.13 (dd, *J* = 2, 1 Hz, 1H), 6.46 (t, *J* = 3 Hz, 1H), 7.02 (dd, *J* = 3, 1 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -4.7, -4.6, 18.1, 25.7 (3C), 46.2, 62.9, 106.2, 111.5, 119.1, 142.0, 169.5; MS (CI) *m*/*z* 252 (M + H)⁺, 194, 152, 120; HRMS (CI) *m*/*z* 252.1420 (calcd for C₁₃H₂₂NO₂Si: 252.1420).

(1*R*,2*R*,4*S*,9*S*)-9-[(*tert*-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy]-3-oxa-6-azatricyclo[4.3.0.0^{2,4}]nonan-7-one

A stirred suspension of **34** (14.0 mg, 55 μ mol) and sodium carbonate (11.7 mg, 0.11 mmol) in 1,2-dichloroethane (3 mL) was treated with 2,6-di-*tert*-butyl-4-methylphenol (BHT, 0.6 mg) followed by anhydrous 3-chloroperbenzoic acid (43 mg, 0.25 mmol). The stirred mixture was heated at reflux for 3 h, additional portions of sodium carbonate and 3-chloroperoxy-

benzoic acid (as before) were added, and heating was continued for a further 2 h. The mixture was allowed to cool, diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL), and shaken with 5% w/v aq. NaOH (5 mL). The layers were separated and the aqueous phase extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 10 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed successively with 5% w/v aq. NaOH (5 mL) and brine (5 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography (eluting with 50-60%) EtOAc in hexanes) to yield the title compound (7.5 mg, 28 μ mol, 50%) as a colourless oil: $[a]_{D}^{23} - 2.1$ (c 1.67, CH₂Cl₂); IR (neat) 2926, 2854, 1705, 1357, 1255, 1169, 1081, 944, 836, 778 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.09 (s, 3H), 0.11 (s, 3H), 0.87 (s, 9H), 2.18 (d, J = 16 Hz, 1H), 2.77 (dd, J = 16, 4 Hz, 1H), 3.28 (d, J = 13 Hz, 1H), 3.78 (d, J = 3 Hz, 1H), 3.83 (dd, J = 13, 2 Hz, 1H), 3.89 (t, J = 3 Hz, 1H), 4.05 (d, J = 4 Hz, 1H), 4.58 (t, J = 4 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta - 5.1, -4.5, 17.9,$ 25.6 (3C), 44.3, 46.5, 58.2, 59.6, 68.6, 70.0, 176.5; MS (CI) m/z $270 (M + H)^+$, 254, 212, 196; HRMS (CI) m/z 270.1523 (calcd for C₁₃H₂₄NO₃Si: 270.1526).

(1*R*,2*R*,4*S*,9*S*)-9-Hydroxy-3-oxa-6-azatricyclo[4.3.0.0^{2,4}]nonan-7-one (38)

To a stirred solution of (1R,2R,4S,9S)-9-[(tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy]-3-oxa-6-azatricyclo[4.3.0.0^{2,4}]nonan-7-one prepared above (2.5 mg, 9.3 µmol) in THF (1 mL) at 0 °C was added a solution of tetra-n-butylammonium fluoride (TBAF, 10 µL, 10 µmol, 1.0M in THF). After stirring at 0 °C for 1 h, the mixture was filtered through a pad of silica gel and the collected solids were washed with MeOH-CHCl₃ (1:9, 30 mL). The filtrate and combined washings were concentrated in vacuo to give pure 38 (1.4 mg, 9.0 µmol, 97%) as a colourless oil which crystallized upon standing: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.26 (d, J = 17 Hz, 1H), 2.89 (dd, J = 17, 4 Hz, 1H), 3.28 (d, J = 13Hz, 1H), 3.87 (dd, J = 13, 2 Hz, 1H), 3.92–3.96 (m, 2H), 4.07 (d, J = 4 Hz, 1H), 4.66 (t, J = 4 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 29.7, 44.6, 46.3, 57.7, 59.9, 68.1, 69.3, 176; MS (CI) m/z 156 (M + H)⁺, 155, 154, 140, 138, 126; HRMS (CI) m/z156.0659 (calcd for C₇H₁₀NO₃: 156.0661).

Ethyl [(1*R*,2*R*,4*S*,9*S*)-9-hydroxy-7-oxo-3,6-diazatricyclo-[4.3.0.0^{2,4}]nonan-3-yl]formate (40)

To a solution of 34 (27.6 mg, 0.11 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (1 mL) was added O-ethyl N-(4-nitrophenyl)sulfonyloxycarbamate^{23a} (39, 95 mg, 0.33 mmol) followed by calcium oxide (18 mg, 0.33 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 8 h at rt and then a further quantity of 39 (95 mg, 0.33 mmol) and calcium oxide (18 mg, 0.33 mmol) were added. After stirring for an additional 20 h. the mixture was filtered through a Celite pad and the collected solids were washed with CH2Cl2. The filtrate and combined washings were concentrated in vacuo and the residue was purified by column chromatography (eluting with 66% EtOAc in hexanes) to yield 12.7 mg of the desired aziridine contaminated by some diethyl azodicarboxylate. The crude aziridine was immediately dissolved in THF (1 mL), and the solution was cooled to 0 °C and treated with tetra-n-butylammonium fluoride (36 µL, 1.0 M in THF, 36 µmol). After stirring for 2 h, silica gel was added to the mixture which was concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography (eluting with 10% MeOH in CHCl₃) to give 40 (5.5 mg, 25 μ mol, 22% for two steps) as a colourless oil: $[a]_{D}^{23} + 31.5$ (c 0.27, CH₂Cl₂); IR (neat) 3329, 2982, 1694, 1462, 1373, 1252, 1182, 1065 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.30 (t, J = 7 Hz, 3H), 2.28 (d, J = 17 Hz, 1H), 2.88 (dd, J = 17, 4 Hz), 3.26 (d, *J* = 13 Hz, 1H), 3.52 (t, *J* = 5 Hz, 1H), 3.59 (d, *J* = 5 Hz, 1H), 3.90 (dd, J = 13, 4 Hz, 1H), 4.08 (d, J = 4 Hz, 1H), 4.19 (q, J = 7Hz, 2H), 4.64 (t, J = 4 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 14.3, 42.9, 44.5, 45.7, 45.8, 63.0, 68.2, 69.1, 161.5, 175.5; MS (CI) m/z 227 (M + H)⁺, 197, 154, 138; HRMS (CI) m/z227.1028 (calcd for C₁₀H₁₅N₂O₄: 227.1032).

(1*S*,7a*S*)-1-[(*tert*-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy]-2,3,5,7a-tetrahydro-1*H*-pyrrolizine (41)

A solution of 34 (5.6 mg, 22 µmol) in THF (2 mL) at rt was treated with lithium aluminium hydride (7 mg, 0.18 mmol) and stirred for 4 h, after which Na₂SO₄·10H₂O (250 mg) was added and the mixture was stirred for a further 15 min. The resulting suspension was filtered through a Celite pad and the collected solids were washed with MeOH-CHCl₃ (1:9, 20 mL). The filtrate and combined washings were concentrated in vacuo and the residue was purified by column chromatography (eluting with 10% MeOH in CHCl₃) to yield **41** (1.8 mg, 7.5 µmol, 34%) as a colourless oil: IR (neat) 2950, 2925, 2852, 1461, 1256, 1176, 1125, 1062, 931, 840, 783; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.09 (s, 3H), 0.10 (s, 3H), 0.87 (s, 9H), 1.95-2.09 (m, 1H), 2.27 (tdd, J = 12, 7, 3 Hz, 1H), 3.06 (td, J = 11, 6 Hz, 1H), 3.55–3.69 (m, 1H), 3.95-4.03 (m, 1H), 4.45-4.55 (m, 2H), 4.95-5.02 (m, 1H), 5.65–5.85 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ – 5.1, –4.8, 17.9, 25.6 (3C), 29.8, 36.1, 60.5, 62.8, 70.7, 124.7, 125.0; MS (CI) m/z 240 (M + H)⁺, 238, 224, 182; HRMS (CI) m/z240.1777 (calcd for C₁₃H₂₆NOSi: 240.1784).

(1*R*,2*S*,78,7a*S*)-7-[(*tert*-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy]-1,2-dihydroxyhexahydro-1*H*-pyrrolizin-5-one (42)

The olefin **34** (6.5 mg, 26 µmol) was oxidized to **42** (6.6 mg, 23 µmol, 89%) by the same protocol used below for the conversion of **35** to **43**. The crystalline diol **42** exhibited the following spectral characteristics: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.10 (s, 3H), 0.11 (s, 3H), 0.89 (s, 9H), 2.25 (d, *J* = 17 Hz, 1H), 2.83 (dd, *J* = 17, 5 Hz, 1H), 3.11 (dd, *J* = 13, 6 Hz, 1H), 3.88 (dd, *J* = 13, 5 Hz, 1H), 3.98 (t, *J* = 6 Hz, 1H), 4.27–4.35 (m, 2H), 4.57 (t, *J* = 5 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ –5.0, –4.7, 18.1, 25.7 (3C), 44.6, 48.0, 68.0, 69.7, 70.1, 73.0, 174.0.

 $C_{13}H_{25}NO_4Si$, M = 287.43, monoclinic, space group C2, a = 14.546(1), b = 6.262(1), c = 35.155(3) Å, $\beta = 98.72(1)^\circ$, V = 3165.2(6) Å³, T = 290 K, Z = 8, μ (Cu-K α) = 1.401 mm⁻¹, colorless block, crystal dimensions $0.20 \times 0.20 \times 0.20$ mm. The crystal was oriented from a total of 92 reflections with $5.09 < \theta < 24.73^\circ$. A total of 3443 data were measured (5.1 $< \theta < 67.6^\circ$), with 3006 independent reflections (merging $R_{int} = 0.046$). Full matrix least squares based on F^2 yielded the residuals of R1 = 0.0510, wR2 = 0.1329, for 346 refined parameters and one restraint (floating origin restraint). The absolute structure coefficient refined to a value of 0.00(4) indicating the model presented corresponds to the correct enantiomer of the compound examined.

(1*R*,2*S*,7*S*,7*aS*)-1,2-Dihydroxy-7-(4-methoxybenzyloxy)hexahydro-1*H*-pyrrolizin-5-one (43)

A stirred solution of 35 (100 mg, 386 µmol) in acetone (5 mL) at rt was treated with a solution of N-methylmorpholine N-oxide (137 mg, 1.17 mmol) in H_2O (1 mL) followed by 4 wt% aq. osmium tetraoxide (0.24 mL, 250 mg, 39 µmol). After stirring for 30 h, sat. aq. Na₂S₂O₃ (5 mL) was added to the mixture which was vigorously stirred for a further 10 min. The mixture was diluted with H₂O (10 mL) and CH₂Cl₂ (15 mL), and the layers were shaken and separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 5 mL), and the combined organic extracts were washed with brine (5 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography (eluting with 7% MeOH in CH₂Cl₂) to give 43 (74 mg, 252 μ mol, 65%) as a colourless oil: $[a]_{D}^{23}$ +15.4 (c 2.35, CHCl₃); IR (neat) 1653, 1512, 1440, 1301, 1246, 1173, 1073, 1030, 823 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.48 (d, J = 17Hz, 1H), 2.76 (dd, J = 17, 5 Hz, 1H), 3.12 (d, J = 13 Hz, 1H), 3.22 (br s, 1H), 3.42 (br s, 1H), 3.77 (d, J = 5 Hz, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 4.04 (dd, J=6, 6 Hz, 1H), 4.25–4.36 (m, 3H), 4.43 (d, J = 11 Hz, 1H), 4.52 (d, J = 11 Hz, 1H), 6.87 (d, J = 9 Hz, 2H), 7.23 (d, J = 9 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 41.3, 48.2,

55.2, 68.9, 69.2, 71.0, 72.8, 73.1, 113.8 (2C), 129.2 (2C), 129.4, 159.3, 173.8; MS (FAB) *m*/*z* 294 (M + H)⁺, 148, 121; HRMS (FAB) *m*/*z* 294.1346 (calcd for $C_{15}H_{20}NO_5$: 294.1342).

(1*R*,2*S*,7*S*,7*aS*)-1,2-Dihydroxy-7-(4-methoxybenzyloxy)hexahydro-1*H*-pyrrolizine–borane (44)

A stirred solution of 43 (74 mg, 252 µmol) in anhydrous THF (25 mL) at rt under Ar was treated dropwise with boranedimethyl sulfide complex (0.75 mL, 600 mg, 7.89 mmol). The resulting mixture was stirred for 4 h and MeOH (10 mL) was added. After a further 30 min the solvent was removed in vacuo, and the residue was re-dissolved in MeOH (5 mL). The solution was again concentrated in vacuo to yield pure 44 (74 mg, 252 µmol, 100%) as a colourless crystalline solid: mp 117-119 °C (CHCl₃); [a]_D²³ +35.8 (c 1.20, CHCl₃); IR (KBr) 3460, 2928, 1611, 1513, 1459, 1343, 1303, 1252, 1220, 1158, 1104, 1081, 1034, 955, 825 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.02 (ddt, J = 13, 6, 3 Hz, 1H), 2.20 (dddd, J = 13, 11, 7, 4 Hz, 1H), 2.87 (br s, 1H), 2.98 (td, J = 11, 6 Hz, 1H), 3.08 (br s, 1H), 3.20 (dd, J = 12, 6 Hz, 1H), 3.31 (ddd, J = 10, 7, 3 Hz, 1H), 3.39 (dd, J = 12, 7 Hz, 1H), 3.75 (dd, J = 6, 2 Hz, 1H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 4.20-4.25 (m, 1H), 4.32–4.40 (m, 2H), 4.40 (d, J = 11 Hz, 1H), 4.51 (d, J = 11 Hz, 1H), 6.89 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.22 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 30.8, 55.3, 62.7, 66.6, 71.3, 71.7, 72.2, 77.6, 82.0, 113.9 (2C), 129.3 (2C), 129.3, 159.4; MS (FAB) m/z 292 (M – H)⁺, 280, 162, 148, 121; HRMS (FAB) m/z 280.1552 (calcd for C₁₅H₂₂NO₄: 280.1549).

C₁₅H₂₄NO₄B, M = 293.16, orthorhombic, space group P2₁₂₁2₁, a = 8.480(1), b = 9.322(2), c = 20.143(3) Å, V = 1592.3(5) Å³, T = 290 K, Z = 4, μ (Cu-K α) = 0.702 mm⁻¹, colorless block, crystal dimensions $0.40 \times 0.40 \times 0.10$ mm. The crystal was oriented from a total of 65 reflections with 7.25 < θ < 26.48°. A total of 3209 data were measured (4.4 < θ < 67.8°), with 2654 independent reflections (merging $R_{int} = 0.069$). Full matrix least squares based on F^2 yielded the residuals of R1 = 0.0447, wR2 = 0.0955, for 214 refined parameters. The absolute structure coefficient refined to a value of 0.1(3) indicating the model presented corresponds to the correct enantiomer of the compound examined.

Tosylation of 44

A solution of 44 (18 mg, 61.4 μ mol) and Et₃N (43 μ L, 31 mg, 0.31 mmol) in anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ (2.5 mL) at rt under Ar was treated with toluene-4-sulfonyl chloride (23 mg, 0.12 mmol) and stirred for 44 h. The mixture was diluted with additional CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) and shaken with sat. aq. NaHCO₃ (10 mL). The layers were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ $(3 \times 5 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with sat. aq. NaHCO₃-H₂O (1:1, 5 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. The residue (31 mg) was dissolved in MeOH (5 mL), treated with Pearlman's catalyst (40 mg, 20 wt% Pd, 50% wetted), and stirred for 30 h. After filtration through a Celite pad, the filtrate was concentrated in vacuo and the residue purified by column chromatography (eluting with 3-10% MeOH in CH₂Cl₂) to yield, in order of elution, the ditosylate 46 (7.5 mg, 12.8 µmol, 21%) and monotosylate 45 (15.3 mg, 35.3 µmol, 57%), both as colourless oils.

(1R,2S,7S,7aS)-1-Hydroxy-7-[(4-methoxybenzyl)oxy]-2-{[(4-methylphenyl)sulfonyl]oxy}hexahydro-1*H*-pyrrolizine (45). [a]_D²³+6.2 (c 0.33, CHCl₃); IR (neat) 2931, 1513, 1359, 1247, 1175, 1034, 815, 667 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.72–1.85 (m, 1H), 2.05 (dd, J = 13, 6 Hz, 1H), 2.10–2.25 (m, OH), 2.43 (s, 3H), 2.50 (ddd, J = 12, 9, 6 Hz, 1H), 2.73 (dd, J = 12, 5 Hz), 3.09 (t, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 3.17 (dd, J = 12, 6 Hz, 1H), 3.50 (t, J = 4 Hz, 1H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 4.00 (t, J = 4 Hz, 1H), 4.37 (d, J = 11 Hz, 1H), 4.43 (t, J = 4 Hz, 1H), 4.50 (d, J = 11 Hz, 1H), 4.95 (q, J = 5 Hz, 1H), 6.90 (d, J = 9 Hz, 2H), 7.22 (d, J = 9 Hz, 2H), 7.28 (d, J = 10 Hz, 2H), 7.76 (d, J = 10 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz,

CDCl₃) δ 21.7, 32.3, 53.0, 55.3, 55.9, 70.2, 70.7, 73.1, 77.0, 82.1, 113.9 (2C), 127.9 (2C), 129.0 (2C), 129.9 (2C), 130.2, 133.3, 145.0, 159.2; MS (FAB) *m*/*z* 434 (M + H)⁺, 280, 149, 121; HRMS (FAB) *m*/*z* 434.1634 (calcd for C₂₂H₂₈NO₆S: 434.1637).

(1R.2S.7S.7aR)-1.2-Bis{[(4-methylphenyl)sulfonyl]oxy}-7-[(4-methoxybenzyl)oxy]hexahydro-1*H*-pyrrolizine (46). $[a]_{D}^{23}$ +13.2 (c 0.37, CHCl₃); IR (neat) 2919, 1513, 1365, 1176, 1033, 813, 670, 554 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.70 (tdd, J = 13, 7, 3 Hz, 1H), 2.06 (dd, J = 13, 5 Hz, 1H), 2.42 (s, 6H), 2.42–2.50 (m, 1H), 2.71 (dd, J = 12, 5 Hz, 1H), 3.09 (t, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 3.17 (dd, J = 12, 6 Hz, 1H), 3.66 (t, J = 4 Hz, 1H), 3.84 (s, J)3H), 3.89 (t, J = 4 Hz, 1H), 4.26 (d, J = 11 Hz, 1H), 4.45 (d, J = 11 Hz, 1H), 4.89 (q, J = 5 Hz, 1H), 5.04 (dd, J = 5, 4 Hz, 1H), 6.90 (d, J = 9 Hz, 2H), 7.17 (d, J = 9 Hz, 2H), 7.24 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.25 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.68 (d, J = 8 Hz, 4H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 21.7 (2C), 32.2, 52.9, 55.3, 55.9, 70.5, 71.3, 76.8, 77.2, 78.4, 113.9 (2C), 128.0 (4C), 129.0 (2C), 129.7 (4C), 130.1, 133.3, 144.8, 159.3; MS (FAB) m/z 588 (M + H)⁺, 434, 121; HRMS (FAB) m/z 588.1715 (calcd for C₂₉H₃₄NO₈S₂: 588.1726).

(1*R*,2*S*,7*S*,7*aR*)-1,2-Bis{[(4-methylphenyl)sulfonyl]oxy}-7hydroxyhexahydro-1*H*-pyrrolizine

solution of 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone (DDQ, 2.3 mg, 10.1 µmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (0.5 mL) was added to 46 (3.0 mg, 5.1 µmol) and the mixture was stirred for 3.5 h at rt. At this time, H₂O (25 µL) was added and stirring was continued for 1.5 h. Solid Na₂SO₄ (1 g) was added, and the mixture was filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography (eluting with 5% MeOH in CH₂Cl₂) to yield the title compound (1.9 mg, 4.1 µmol, 80%) as a colourless oil: $[a]_{D}^{23}$ +7.1 (c 0.09, CHCl₃); IR (neat) 2921, 1364, 1190, 1175, 1031, 813, 554 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.91–2.10 (m, 2H), 2.45 (s, 3H), 2.47 (s, 3H), 2.58 (ddd, J = 11, 9, 7 Hz, 1H), 2.73 (dd, J = 12, 4 Hz, 1H), 3.11 (t, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 3.25 (dd, *J* = 12, 3 Hz, 1H), 3.73 (dd, *J* = 5, 5 Hz, 1H), 4.27 (t, *J* = 4 Hz, 1H), 4.97–5.05 (m, 2H), 7.31 (d, J = 9 Hz, 2H), 7.34 (d, J = 9 Hz, 2H), 7.74 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.77 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 21.7 (2C), 37.2, 52.6, 56.6, 69.3, 70.9, 75.6, 81.0, 128.0 (2C), 129.8, 129.9, 132.9, 133.5, 144.9, 145.3; MS (FAB) *m*/*z* 468 (M + H)⁺, 314; HRMS (FAB) *m*/*z* 468.1156 (calcd for C₂₁H₂₆NO₇S₂: 468.1151).

[(3*S*,4*S*,6*S*,9*R*)-5-Oxa-1-azatricyclo[4.2.1.0^{4,9}]nonan-3-yl] 4-methylbenzenesulfonate (47)

A solution of the alcohol prepared above (2.3 mg, 4.9 µmol) in MeOH-H₂O (5:1, 1.2 mL) was treated with K_2CO_3 (2.7 mg, 20 umol) and the mixture was stirred at a gentle reflux for 5 h. The mixture was allowed to cool and was concentrated in vacuo. The residue was taken up into CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) and H₂O (5 mL), and the layers were shaken and separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 3 mL), and the combined organic extracts were washed with brine (5 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. The crude oil was purified by column chromatography (eluting with 5% MeOH in CH₂Cl₂) to furnish 47 (1.1 mg, 3.7 µmol, 76%) as a colourless oil: IR (neat) 2915, 1366, 1176, 973, 834, 554 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃, loline numbering is used for assignment) δ 1.84 (dtd, J = 15, 8, 4Hz, 1H, H $_{A}$), 2.00 (ddd, J = 15, 7, 5 Hz, 1H, H $_{B}$), 2.46 (s, 3H, Ts), 2.95 (dt, J = 11, 7 Hz, 1H, H5_A), 3.10 (dd, J = 13, 5 Hz, 1H, $H3_A$), 3.24 (ddd, J = 11, 8, 4 Hz, 1H, $H5_B$), 3.45 (dd, J = 13, 6 Hz, 1H, H3_B), 4.18 (t, J = 3 Hz, 1H, H8), 4.84 (d, J = 3 Hz, 1H, H1), 4.92 (t, J = 5 Hz, 1H, H2), 5.05 (t, J = 4 Hz, 1H, H7), 7.36 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H, Ts), 7.79 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H, Ts); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 21.7, 33.8, 55.1, 60.7, 68.6, 83.5, 83.9, 84.4, 127.9 (2C), 130.0 (2C), 133.2, 145.1; MS (FAB) m/z 296 $(M + H)^+$, 142; HRMS (FAB) m/z 296.0961 (calcd for C14H18NO4S: 296.0957).

Deprotection of 45

A solution of **45** (4.0 mg, 9.2 µmol) in MeCN–H₂O (5 : 1, 1.2 mL) at rt was treated with ceric ammonium nitrate (CAN, 30 mg, 55 µmol) and the mixture was stirred for 21 h. A further quantity of CAN (10 mg, 18 µmol) was added and stirring was continued for 2 h. The mixture was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) and shaken with sat. aq. NaHCO₃ (5 mL). The layers were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 5 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine (5 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography (eluting with 5–15% MeOH in CH₂Cl₂) to afford, in order of elution, **49** (1.0 mg, 2.2 µmol, 24%) followed by **48** (1.0 mg, 3.2 µmol, 35%), both as colourless oils.

(1*R*,2*S*,7*S*,7*aR*)-1,7-Dihydroxy-2-{[(4-methylphenyl)sulfonyl]oxy}hexahydro-1*H*-pyrrolizine (48). $[a]_D^{23} + 5.9$ (*c* 0.09, CHCl₃); IR (neat) 2914, 1352, 1174, 1018, 910, 814, 670, 554 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.85–1.92 (m, 1H), 1.98 (ddd, J = 12, 8, 4 Hz, 1H), 2.46 (s, 3H), 2.60 (ddd, J = 11, 9, 6 Hz, 1H), 2.78 (dd, J = 12, 5 Hz, 1H), 3.13 (tm, J = 7 Hz, 1H), 3.21 (dd, J = 12, 5 Hz, 1H), 3.47 (t, J = 5 Hz, 1H), 4.37 (td, J = 4, 1 Hz, 1H), 4.44 (t, J = 6 Hz, 1H), 5.05 (q, J = 5 Hz, 1H), 7.36 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.84 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 21.7, 36.9, 52.8, 56.2, 70.0, 73.6, 82.7, 128.0 (2C), 130.0 (2C), 133.3, 145.2; MS (FAB) *m*/*z* 314 (M + H)⁺, 154, 136; HRMS (FAB) *m*/*z* 314.1058 (calcd for C₁₄H₂₀NO₅S: 314.1062).

(1*R*,2*S*,7*S*,7*aS*)-1-Hydroxy-7-[(4-methoxybenzoyl)oxy]-2-{[(4-methylphenyl)sulfonyl]oxy}hexahydro-1*H*-pyrrolizine (49). IR (neat) 2911, 2845, 1714, 1604, 1360, 1256, 1174, 1097, 1022, 769 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.12–2.18 (m, 2H), 2.44 (s, 3H), 2.63 (q, J = 9 Hz, 1H), 2.87 (dd, J = 12, 5 Hz, 1H), 3.20–3.27 (m, 1H), 3.30 (dd, J = 12, 5 Hz, 1H), 3.73 (t, J = 5 Hz, 1H), 3.89 (s, 3H), 4.24 (t, J = 4 Hz, 1H), 5.12 (q, J = 5 Hz, 1H), 5.48 (dt, J = 5, 3 Hz, 1H), 6.96 (d, J = 7 Hz, 2H), 7.30 (d, J = 8Hz, 2H), 7.79 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.97 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃, quaternary carbons not observed) δ 21.7, 34.3, 53.1, 55.5, 55.7, 70.5, 72.2, 73.7, 81.8, 113.9 (2C), 127.9 (2C), 129.9 (2C), 131.7 (2C); MS (FAB) *m*/z 448 (M + H)⁺, 294, 135; HRMS (FAB) *m*/z 448.1489 (calcd for C₂₂H₂₆NO₇S: 448.1430).

Aminohydroxylation of 35 (Table 2, entry 4)

A stirred solution of 35 (39.1 mg, 151 µmol) and (DHQD)₂-PHAL (29 mg, 38 µmol, 25 mol%) in t-BuOH-H₂O (1:1, 3.5 mL) at rt was treated with chloramine-T dihydrate (99 mg, 376 µmol, 2.5 eq.). Potassium osmate was added in three equal portions of 0.6 mg (1.6 µmol, 1 mol%) at 24 h intervals and after addition of the final portion, the mixture was stirred for a further 24 h. Sat. aq. NaHSO₃ (5 mL) was added and the mixture was stirred vigorously for 15 min. The mixture was diluted with H₂O (5 mL) and CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL), and the resultant layers were shaken and separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 5 mL), and the extract was washed with brine (5 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography (eluting with 5-10% MeOH in CH₂Cl₂) to afford, in order of elution, a mixture of sulfonamides 50 and 51 (35.2 mg, 79 µmol, 52%, colourless oil) followed by 43 (9.5 mg, 32 µmol, 21%, colourless oil). ¹H NMR analysis of the mixture of sulfonamides indicated a ratio 50:51 = 75:25. The isomers could be separated by careful column chromatography (eluting with 5% MeOH in CH_2Cl_2) with **51** eluting first.

N-[(1*R*,2*S*,7*S*,7*aS*)-2-Hydroxy-7-(4-methoxybenzyloxy)-5oxohexahydro-1*H*-pyrrolizin-1-yl]-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide (50). $[a]_{D}^{23}$ -15.4 (*c* 0.07, CHCl₃); IR (neat) 1667, 1513, 1435, 1328, 1246, 1157, 1091, 815, 678, 565 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.41 (s, 3H), 2.46 (d, J = 17 Hz, 1H), 2.72 (dd, J = 17, 5 Hz, 1H), 3.10 (d, J = 13 Hz, 1H), 3.75 (dd, J = 13, 6 Hz, 1H), 3.80–3.84 (m, 1H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 4.07 (dd, J = 8, 5 Hz, 1H), 2.10 (t, J = 5 Hz, 1H), 4.22–4.27 (m, 1H), 4.31 (d, J = 11 Hz, 1H), 4.39 (d, J = 11 Hz, 1H), 5.23 (br d, J = 7 Hz, NH), 6.89 (d, J = 9 Hz, 2H), 7.20 (d, J = 9 Hz, 2H), 7.22 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.69 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 21.6, 40.6, 49.3, 53.3, 55.3, 67.7, 70.9, 72.0, 72.8, 113.9 (2C), 127.2 (2C), 129.3, 129.5 (2C), 129.9 (2C), 136.4, 144.0, 159.4, 173.4; MS (FAB) m/z 4469 (M + Na)⁺, 447 (M + H)⁺, 121; HRMS (FAB) m/z 447.1591 (calcd for C₂₂H₂₇N₂O₆S: 447.1590).

N-[(1*R*,2*S*,7*S*,7*aS*)-1-Hydroxy-7-(4-methoxybenzyloxy)-5oxohexahydro-1*H*-pyrrolizin-2-yl]-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide (**51**). $[a]_{D}^{23}$ -16.6 (*c* 1.16, CHCl₃); IR (neat) 2917, 1670, 1336, 1246, 1160, 1091, 1031, 815, 680 cm ⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.42 (s, 3H), 2.45 (d, *J* = 17 Hz, 1H), 2.63 (dd, *J* = 17, 5 Hz, 1H), 2.80 (dd, *J* = 12, 9 Hz, 1H), 3.52–3.58 (m, 1H), 3.82– 3.86 (m, 1H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 3.94 (dd, *J* = 5, 3 Hz, 1H), 4.26 (t, *J* = 5 Hz, 1H), 4.27 (d, *J* = 11 Hz, 1H), 4.40 (dd, *J* = 6, 3 Hz, 1H), 4.49 (d, *J* = 11 Hz, 1H), 4.98–5.03 (m, NH), 6.91 (d, *J* = 7 Hz, 2H), 7.19 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.21 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.66 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 21.5, 39.4, 45.4, 55.3, 56.0, 69.1, 70.4, 71.4, 74.3, 113.9 (2C), 127.1 (2C), 129.2 (2C), 129.3, 129.9 (2C), 136.5, 143.9, 159.4, 175.0; MS (FAB) *m/z* 447 (M + H)⁺, 121; HRMS (FAB) *m/z* 447.1593 (calcd for C₂₂H₂₇N₂O₆S: 447.1590).

N-[(*1R*,2*S*,7*S*,7*aS*)-2-Hydroxy-7-(4-methoxybenzyloxy)-5-oxohexahydro-1*H*-pyrrolizin-1-yl]-4,*N*-dimethylbenzenesulfonamide (52)

A solution of 50 (62 mg, 139 µmol) in t-BuOH (4 mL) at rt was treated with potassium tert-butoxide (20 mg, 180 µmol), and the mixture was stirred for 15 min. Methyl iodide (0.17 mL, 388 mg, 2.73 mmol) was added and the solution was heated at 50 °C. After 16 h the solution was allowed to cool, and H₂O (10 mL) and CH₂Cl₂ (15 mL) were added. The layers were shaken and separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 5 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine (5 mL), dried (Na_2SO_4), and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography (eluting with 5% MeOH in CH_2Cl_2) to yield 52 (48.5 mg, 105 μ mol, 76%) as a clear oil: $[a]_{D}^{23}$ +49.0 (c 0.55, CHCl₃); IR (neat) 3373, 2929, 1687, 1514, 1335, 1249, 1155, 1088, 1032, 817 cm⁻¹ ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.40 (s, 3H), 2.48 (d, J = 17 Hz, 1H), 2.64 (dd, J = 17, 5 Hz, 1H), 2.76–2.79 (m, 1H), 2.89 (s, 3H), 3.12 (dd, J = 13, 3 Hz, 1H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 3.85 (dd, J = 13, 6 Hz, 1H), 3.94 (t, J = 5 Hz, 1H), 4.09 (dd, J = 8, 5 Hz, 1H), 4.10 (d, J = 11 Hz, 1H), 4.21 (dd, J = 8, 5 Hz, 1H), 4.30 (d, J = 12 Hz, 1H), 4.60–4.66 (m, 1H), 6.84 (d, J = 9 Hz, 2H), 6.99 (d, J = 9 Hz, 2H), 7.25 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.65 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 21.6, 34.2, 39.8, 48.2, 55.3, 58.2, 65.0, 69.9, 72.8, 73.7, 113.9 (2C), 127.6 (2C), 128.7, 129.5 (2C), 129.8 (2C), 134.4, 144.0, 159.5, 172.7; MS (FAB) m/z 461 $(M + H)^+$, 121; HRMS (FAB) 461.1740 (calcd for C₂₃H₂₉-N₂O₆S: 461.1746).

{(1*R*,2*S*,7*S*,7a*S*)-7-(4-Methoxybenzyloxy)-1-[methyl(4-tolyl-sulfonyl)amino]-5-oxohexahydro-1*H*-pyrrolizin-2-yl} methane-sulfonate (53)

To a stirred solution of **52** (48.5 mg, 105 μ mol) in anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) at 0 °C under Ar, was added Et₃N (50 μ L, 36 mg, 359 μ mol) followed by methanesulfonyl chloride (16 μ L, 24 mg, 207 μ mol) using dropwise addition. After stirring for 15 min, the mixture was shaken with sat. aq. NaHCO₃ (5 mL), and the layers were separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 5 mL), and the combined organic extracts were washed with brine (5 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated

in vacuo to yield pure **53** (56 mg, 104 µmol, 99%) as a colourless solid: mp 187–190 °C (decomp.); $[a]_D^{23}$ +66.1 (*c* 0.70, CHCl₃); IR (KBr) 2928, 1696, 1517, 1350, 1247, 1166, 1071, 981, 907, 679, 548 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.38 (s, 3H), 2.46 (d, *J* = 17 Hz, 1H), 2.61 (dd, *J* = 17, 5 Hz, 1H), 2.88 (s, 3H), 3.14 (s, 3H), 3.44 (dd, *J* = 14, 2 Hz, 1H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.94 (t, *J* = 5 Hz, 1H), 4.04 (dd, *J* = 14, 6 Hz, 1H), 4.09 (d, *J* = 12 Hz, 1H), 4.22 (dd, *J* = 9, 5 Hz, 1H), 4.24 (d, *J* = 12 Hz, 1H), 4.56 (dd, *J* = 9, 6 Hz, 1H), 5.35 (td, *J* = 5, 3 Hz, 1H), 6.83 (d, *J* = 9 Hz, 2H), 6.93 (d, *J* = 9 Hz, 2H), 7.26 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.68 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 21.5, 33.0, 38.4, 39.4, 47.9, 55.3, 56.2, 64.1, 69.7, 71.3, 83.0, 114.0 (2C), 127.5 (2C), 128.3, 129.5 (2C), 129.8 (2C), 135.5, 144.0, 159.6, 172.7; MS (FAB) *m*/*z* 534 (M + H)⁺, 185, 121; HRMS (FAB) *m*/*z* 539.1517 (calcd for C₂₄H₃₁N₂O₈S₂: 539.1522).

{(1*R*,2*S*,7*S*,7a*S*)-7-(4-Methoxybenzyloxy)-1-[methyl(4-tolylsulfonyl)amino]hexahydro-1*H*-pyrrolizin-2-yl} methanesulfonate (54)

A stirred solution of 53 (21.2 mg, 39.4 µmol) in anhydrous THF (10 mL) at rt under Ar, was treated with a large excess of borane-dimethyl sulfide complex (0.12 mL, 10 M neat, 1.2 mmol, 30 eq.). The solution was stirred for 5 h, MeOH (5 mL) was added, and the solution was concentrated in vacuo. The residual oil was redissolved in MeOH (5 mL), and palladium hydroxide on carbon (25 mg, 20 wt% Pd content) was added. After stirring at rt for 14 h, the suspension was filtered through a Celite pad and the filtrate was concentrated in vacuo. The residual oil was purified by column chromatography (eluting with 2.5 to 5% MeOH in CH₂Cl₂) to give 54 (15.0 mg, 28.6 μ mol, 73%) as a clear oil: $[a]_{D}^{23}$ +73.3 (c 0.51, CHCl₃); IR (neat) 2933, 1705, 1610, 1519, 1334, 1248, 1177, 1029, 920, 810, 663, 549 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.70 (dddd, J = 14, 11, 8, 4 Hz, 1H), 2.10 (ddm, J = 14, 6 Hz, 1H), 2.39 (s, 3H), 2.57 3H), 3.08 (s, 3H), 3.09–3.15 (m, 1H), 3.31 (dd, J = 12, 4 Hz, 1H), 3.32 (t, J = 5 Hz, 1H), 3.55 (t, J = 4 Hz, 1H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 4.22 (d, J = 12 Hz, 1H), 4.49 (d, J = 12 Hz, 1H), 4.90 (t, J = 6Hz, 1H), 5.23 (td, J = 6, 4 Hz, 1H), 6.93 (d, J = 9 Hz, 2H), 7.18 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.23 (d, *J* = 9 Hz, 2H), 7.57 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 21.5, 31.9, 32.2, 38.4, 52.7, 55.3, 55.8, 59.7, 68.2, 70.1, 83.4, 114.0 (2C), 127.2 (2C), 129.0 (2C), 129.6 (2C), 129.9, 136.1, 143.4, 159.3; MS (FAB) m/z 525 $(M + H)^+$, 121; HRMS (FAB) m/z 525.1722 (calcd for C₂₄H₃₃N₂O₇S₂: 525.1729).

{(1*R*,2*S*,7*S*,7a*S*)-7-Hydroxy-1-[methyl(4-tolylsulfonyl)amino]hexahydro-1*H*-pyrrolizin-2-yl} methanesulfonate (55)

A stirred biphasic solution of 54 (10.2 mg, 19.4 µmol) in CH₂Cl₂-H₂O (20:1, 2.1 mL) at rt was treated with 2,3dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone (DDQ, 9 mg, 40 µmol). After stirring of the mixture for 22 h, TLC analysis indicated that the reaction was only ca. 40% complete. Additional quantities of DDQ (9 mg) and H₂O (0.1 mL) were added at this time, and a further quantity of DDQ (5 mg) was added after a further 26 h. After an additional 16 h, TLC analysis indicated complete consumption of 54. The mixture was partitioned between sat. aq. NaHCO₃ (10 mL) and CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL), and the layers shaken and separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 5 mL), and the combined organic extracts were washed successively with half-saturated aq. NaHCO3 (10 mL) and brine (10 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. The crude residue was purified by column chromatography (eluting with 10% MeOH in CH₂Cl₂) to afford 55 (5.5 mg, 13.6 µmol, 70%) as a colourless crystalline solid: mp 175 °C (decomp.) (CH₂Cl₂); $[a_{D}^{23} + 45.8 (c \ 0.28, CHCl₃);$ IR (neat) 3415, 2956, 1333, 1254, 1170, 1092, 1041, 948, 823, 790, 656 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.98–2.10 (m, 2H), 2.44 (s, 3H), 2.65 (ddd, J = 12, 9, 6 Hz, 1H), 2.84 (dd, J = 12, 4 Hz, 1H), 3.03 (s, 3H), 3.05 (s, 3H), 3.14 (tm, J = 9 Hz, 1H), 3.34 (dd, J = 12, 2 Hz, 1H), 3.60 (dd, J = 8, 4 Hz, 1H), 3.99–4.03 (m, 1H), 4.64 (dd, J = 8, 5 Hz, 1H), 5.15 (dd, J = 5, 2 Hz, 1H), 7.33 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.76 (d, J = 8Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 21.5, 32.1, 37.3, 38.6, 52.5, 55.4, 59.6, 67.7, 69.7, 85.3, 127.3 (2C), 129.8 (2C), 136.0, 143.9; MS (FAB) *m/z* 405 (M + H)⁺; HRMS (FAB) *m/z* 405.1158 (calcd for C₁₆H₂₅-N₂O₆S₂: 405.1154).

 $C_{16}H_{24}N_2O_6S_2$, M = 404.49, tetragonal, space group $P4_1$, a = 9.649(1), c = 20.808(3) Å, V = 1937.3(3) Å³, T = 290 K, Z = 4, μ (Cu-K α) = 2.801 mm⁻¹, colorless block, crystal dimensions $0.40 \times 0.10 \times 0.10$ mm. The crystal was oriented from a total of 40 reflections with $12.12 < \theta < 36.35^\circ$. A total of 4372 data were measured ($5.6 < \theta < 67.6^\circ$), with 1912 independent reflections (merging $R_{int} = 0.108$). Full matrix least squares based on F^2 yielded the residuals of R1 = 0.0665, wR2 = 0.180, for 236 refined parameters and one restraint (floating origin). The absolute structure coefficient refined to a value of -0.04(3)indicating the model presented corresponds to the correct enantiomer of the compound examined.

(7*S*)-7-Hydroxy-1-{methyl[(4-methylphenyl)sulfonyl]amino}-5,6,7,7a-tetrahydro-3*H*-pyrrolizine (56)

A stirred solution of 55 (1.6 mg, 4.0 µmol) in anhydrous THF (0.5 mL) at 0 °C under Ar, was treated with potassium hexamethyldisilazane (0.14 mL, 0.056 M in THF-toluene, 8 µmol). After 20 min, the reaction was quenched by the addition of H_2O (5 mL) and the mixture was diluted with CH_2Cl_2 (5 mL). The layers were shaken and separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 2 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine (5 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo to yield 56 (~0.9 mg, 2.8 µmol, 70%) as a colourless oil: IR (neat) 2917, 1345, 1159, 1095, 809, 687, 547 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.95–2.02 (m, 2H), 2.43 (s, 3H), 2.76 (ddd, J = 11, 9, 7 Hz, 1H), 3.02 (s, 3H), 3.24 (ddd, J = 9, 6, 3 Hz, 1H), 3.35 (ddd, J = 15, 5, 2 Hz, 1H), 3.83 (dt, J = 15, 2 Hz, 1H), 4.55–4.61 (m, 2H), 5.08 (q, J = 2 Hz, 1H), 7.32 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.66 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 21.6, 35.2, 37.6, 54.7, 60.9, 71.8, 116.4, 127.2, 127.6 (2C), 129.8 (2C), 137.7, 144.2; MS (FAB) m/z 309 $(M + H)^+$, 185, 93; HRMS (FAB) m/z 309.1276 (calcd for C₁₅H₂₁N₂O₃S: 309.1273).

N-Tosylloline (57)

A. From 55. A stirred solution of 55 (4.1 mg, 10.1 µmol) in 1,2-dichlorobenzene (1 mL) under Ar was heated at a gentle reflux for 22 h. The resulting black mixture was allowed to cool to rt and partitioned between CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) and 10% w/v aq. NaOH (5 mL). The layers were shaken and separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (2 × 5 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine (5 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography (eluting with 10% MeOH in CH₂Cl₂) to yield **57** (2.3 mg, 7.5 μ mol, 74%) as a clear oil: $[a]_{D}^{23}$ +40.9 (c 0.11, CHCl₃); IR (neat) 2921, 1458, 1368, 1168, 1096, 1023, 957, 812, 661, 546 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.92 (dddd, J = 14, 9, 4, 4 Hz, 1H), 2.06 (ddd, J = 14, 9, 7 Hz), 2.44 (s, 3H), 2.46 (dm, J ≈ 10 Hz, 1H), 2.90 (s, 3H), 2.94 (ddd, J = 13, 9, 7 Hz, 1H), 3.10 (ddd, J = 12, 8, 3 Hz, 1H), 3.12 (t, J = 2 Hz, 1H), 3.29–3.31 (m, 1H), 3.71 (dd, J = 11, 1 Hz, 1H), 4.32 (dd, J = 5, 2 Hz, 1H), 4.59 (dm, J = 2 Hz, 1H), 7.36 (d, J = 8Hz, 2H), 7.71 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) *δ* 21.6, 33.5, 35.6, 54.9, 61.5, 65.6, 69.9, 76.4, 81.0, 128.0 (2C), 129.8 (2C), 132.4, 143.9; MS (FAB) *m*/*z* 309 (M + H)⁺; HRMS (FAB) *m/z* 309.1267 (calcd for C₁₅H₂₁N₂O₃S: 309.1273).

B. From loline (1). A stirred solution of loline dihydrochloride (1.9 mg, 8.4 μ mol) in CHCl₃ (1 mL) was treated with Et₃N (6 μ L, 4.4 mg, 44 μ mol) followed by toluene-4-sulfonyl chloride (3.2 mg, 17 µmol). After stirring of the solution for 1 d, additional quantities of Et₃N (10 µL, 73 µmol) and toluene-4-sulfonyl chloride (6 mg, 31 µmol) were added together with 4-(dimethylamino)pyridine (DMAP, 1 mg, 8 µmol). After stirring for a further 1 d, the mixture was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) and shaken with 10% w/v aq. NaOH (5 mL). The layers were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 2 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine (5 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography (eluting with 10% MeOH in CH₂Cl₂) to yield *N*-tosylloline (57, 2.0 mg, 6.5 µmol, 77%) as a colourless oil: $[a]_{D}^{23} + 38.0$ (*c* 0.10, CHCl₃). Spectral properties (¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR and IR) were identical to those obtained for 57 prepared from 55.

Loline dihydrochloride

A solution of **57** (4.5 mg, 15 μ mol) in anhydrous DME (1 mL) at -60 °C under Ar was treated dropwise with a freshly prepared solution of sodium naphthalenide (0.7 mL, *ca*. 0.25 M in DME) until a green colour persisted. After 20 min, the reaction was quenched with 1.5 M aq. HCl (2 mL) and was allowed to warm to rt. The mixture was diluted with H₂O (3 mL) and washed with Et₂O (4 × 3 mL). The aqueous phase was made basic (pH 12) with 10% w/v aq. NaOH and extracted with CHCl₃ (6 × 4 mL). The resulting solution of **1** in CHCl₃ was dried (Na₂SO₄), treated with methanolic HCl (3 mL, half-saturated), and concentrated *in vacuo* to yield loline dihydrochloride (1.6 mg, 48%) as a colourless oil. Spectral data are given in Tables 3 and 4.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Professor Lowell P. Bush, University of Kentucky, for a sample of loline dihydrochloride, and to Professor Joseph J. Tufariello, State University of New York at Buffalo, for the NMR spectra of (\pm) -loline and its dihydrochloride. V.K.S. thanks the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft for a Fellowship. Financial support was provided by the US National Institutes of Health through grant GM58889.

References

- J. R. Cowan, Adv. Agron., 1956, 8, 283; S. G. Yates, H. L. Tookey,
 J. Ellis, W. H. Tallent and I. A. Wolff, J. Agric. Food Chem., 1969,
 17, 437; H. L. Tookey and S. G. Yates, An. Quim., 1972, 921.
- 2 I. J. Cunninham, Aust. Vet. J., 1949, 25, 27; S. G. Yates, Econ. Bot., 1962, 16,, 295.
- 3 D. J. Williams, D. E. Tyler and E. Papp, J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc., 1969, **154**, 1017; M. M. Forney, D. J. Williams, E. M. Papp and D. E. Tyler, J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc., 1969, **155**, 1603.
- 4 D. R. Jacobson, S. B. Carr, R. H. Hutton, R. C. Brucker, A. P. Graden, D. R. Dowden and W. M. Miller, *J. Dairy Sci.*, 1970, **53**, 575.
- 5 S. B. Yates and H. L. Tookey, Aust. J. Chem., 1965, 18, 53.
- 6 (a) Y. S. Yunusov and S. T. Akramov, J. Gen. Chem. USSR (Engl. Transl.), 1955, 25, 1765; (b) Y. S. Yunusov and S. T. Akramov, J. Gen. Chem. USSR (Engl. Transl.), 1960, 30, 699; Y. S. Yunusov and S. T. Akramov, J. Gen. Chem. USSR (Engl. Transl.), 1960, 30, 705; Y. S. Yunusov and S. T. Akramov, J. Gen. Chem. USSR (Engl. Transl.), 1960, 30, 3105; (c) S. T. Akramov and Y. S. Yunusov, Chem. Nat. Compd. (Engl. Transl.), 1965, 1, 203; (d) J. D. Robbins, J. G. Sweeny, S. R. Wilkinson and D. Burdick, J. Agric. Food Chem., 1972,

20, 1040; (e) E. K. Batirov, S. A. Khanidkhodzhaev, V. M. Malikov and Y. S. Yunusov, *Chem. Nat. Compd. (Engl. Transl.)*, 1976, **12**, 50.

- 7 B. Tofern, M. Kaloga, L. Witte, T. Hartmann and E. Eich, *Phytochemistry*, 1999, **51**, 1177.
- 8 (a) A. J. Aasen and C. C. Culvenor, Aust. J. Chem., 1969, 22, 2021;
 (b) R. B. Bates and S. R. Morehead, Tetrahedron Lett., 1972, 1629;
 (c) S. R. Wilson and R. A. Sawicki, Tetrahedron Lett., 1978, 2969;
 (d) S. R. Wilson, R. A. Sawicki and J. C. Huffman, J. Org. Chem., 1981, 46, 3887.
- 9 R. S. Glass, D. R. Deardorff and L. H. Gains, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1978, 2965.
- 10 J. J. Tufariello, H. Meckler and K. Winzenberg, J. Org. Chem., 1986, 51, 3556.
- 11 D. R. Jacobson, W. M. Miller, D. M. Seath, S. G. Yates, H. L. Tookey and I. A. Wolff, *J. Dairy Sci.*, 1963, **46**, 416.
- 12 For a recent review of the pharmacology and chemistry of loline and its congeners, see L. P. Bush, F. F. Fannin, M. R. Siegel, D. L. Dahlman and H. R. Burton, *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.*, 1993, 44, 81.
- 13 C. T. Dougherty, F. W. Knapp, L. P. Bush, J. E. Mail and J. Van Willigen, J. Med. Entomol., 1998, 35, 798.
- 14 For a preliminary account of this work see P. R. Blakemore, V. K. Schulze and J. D. White, *Chem. Commun.*, 2000, 1263.
- 15 (a) G. E. Keck and D. R. Romer, J. Org. Chem., 1993, 58, 6083; (b) C. Kibayashi and S. Aoyagi, Synlett, 1995, 873; (c) H. Abe, S. Aoyagi and C. Kibayashi, Tetrahedron Lett., 2000, 41, 1205; (d) T. Ozawa, S. Aoyagi and C. Kibayashi, J. Org. Chem., 2001, 66, 3338.
- 16 (a) G. E. Keck, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1978, 4767; (b) G. E. Keck and D. G. Nickell, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1980, **102**, 3632.
- 17 M. E. Maier, B.-V. Haller, R. Stumpf and H. Fisher, Synlett, 1993, 863.
- 18 S. B. Balkrishna, W. E. Childers and H. W. Pinnick, *Tetrahedron*, 1981, **37**, 2091.
- 19 M. Naruse, S. Aoyagi and C. Kibayashi, J. Org. Chem., 1994, 59, 1358.
- 20 S. Hanessian, A. Ugolini, D. Dubé and A. Glamyan, *Can. J. Chem.*, 1984, 62, 2146.
- 21 G. Rajendra and M. J. Miller, J. Org. Chem., 1987, 52, 4471.
- 22 B. A. Marples, J. P. Muxworthy and K. H. Baggaley, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1991, **32**, 533.
- 23 (a) W. Lwowski and J. Maricich, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1965, 87, 3630;
 (b) M. Barani, S. Fioravanti, M. A. Loreto, L. Pellacani and P. A. Tardela, *Tetrahedron*, 1994, 50, 3829.
- 24 B. A. Hanson and J. D. White, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1988, 110, 6314.
- 25 K. B. Sharpless, A. O. Chong and K. Oshima, J. Org. Chem., 1976, 41, 177; E. Herranz and K. B. Sharpless, J. Org. Chem., 1978, 43, 2544.
- 26 G. Li, H.-T. Chang and K. B. Sharpless, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1996, 35, 451; A. E. Rubin and K. B. Sharpless, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1997, 36, 2637.
- 27 For a recent review of the asymmetric aminohydroxylation and its use in synthesis, see P. O'Brien, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 1999, 38, 326.
- 28 J.-E. Bäckvall, K. Oshima, R. E. Palermo and K. B. Sharpless, J. Org. Chem., 1979, 44, 1953.
- 29 R. J. Petroski, S. G. Yates, D. Weisleder and R. G. Powell, J. Nat. Prod., 1989, **52**, 810.
- 30 XSCANS version 2.2. Serial Diffractometer Control and Data Collection Program, Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA, 1996.
- 31 A. C. T. North, D. C. Phillips and F. S. Mathews, *Acta Crystallogr.*, *Sect. A*, 1968, 24, 351.
- 32 XEMP version 4.3. Program for Absorption Correction, Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- 33 SHELX97 (includes SHELXS97, SHELXL97)—Programs for Crystal Structure Analysis (Release 97-2), G. M. Sheldrick, Institut für Anorganische Chemie der Universität, Tammanstrasse 4, D-3400 Göttingen, Germany, 1998.
- 34 (a) H. D. Flack, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A, 1983, 39, 876; (b)
 G. Bernardinelli and H. D. Flack, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A, 1985, 41, 500.